On one-sided interval edge colorings of biregular bipartite graphs
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A proper edge $t$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a coloring of edges of $G$ with colors $1, 2, \ldots, t$ such that all colors are used, and no two adjacent edges receive the same color. The set of colors of edges incident with a vertex $x$ is called a spectrum of $x$. An arbitrary nonempty subset of consecutive integers is called an interval. We say that a proper edge $t$-coloring of a graph $G$ is interval in the vertex $x$ if the spectrum of $x$ is an interval. We say that a proper edge $t$-coloring $\phi$ of a graph $G$ is interval on a subset $R_0$ of vertices of $G$, if for an arbitrary $x \in R_0$, $\phi$ is interval in $x$. We say that a subset $R$ of vertices of $G$ has an $i$-property if there is a proper edge $t$-coloring of $G$ which is interval on $R$. If $G$ is a graph, and a subset $R$ of its vertices has an $i$-property, then the minimum value of $t$ for which there is a proper edge $t$-coloring of $G$ interval on $R$ is denoted by $w_R(G)$.

In this paper, for some bipartite graphs, we estimate the value of this parameter in that cases when $R$ coincides with the set of all vertices of one part of the graph.
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We consider undirected, finite graphs without loops and multiple edges. $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the sets of vertices and edges of a graph $G$, respectively. For any vertex $x \in V(G)$, we denote by $N_G(x)$ the set of vertices of a graph $G$ adjacent to $x$. The degree of a vertex $x$ of a graph $G$ is denoted by $d_G(x)$, the maximum degree of a vertex of $G$ by $\Delta(G)$. For a graph $G$ and an arbitrary subset $V_0 \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[V_0]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by the subset $V_0$ of its vertices.

Using a notation $G(X,Y,E)$ for a bipartite graph $G$, we mean that $G$ has a bipartition $(X,Y)$, and $E = E(G)$.

An arbitrary nonempty subset of consecutive integers is called an interval. An interval with the minimum element $p$ and the maximum element $q$ is denoted by $[p,q]$.

A function $\varphi : E(G) \to [1,t]$ is called a proper edge $t$-coloring of a graph $G$, if all colors are used, and no two adjacent edges receive the same color.

The minimum $t \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a proper edge $t$-coloring of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\chi'(G)$ [25].

For a graph $G$ and any $t \in [\chi'(G), |E(G)|]$, we denote by $\alpha(G,t)$ the set of all proper edge $t$-colorings of $G$. Let

$$\alpha(G) \equiv \bigcup_{t=\chi'(G)}^{|E(G)|} \alpha(G,t).$$
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If \( G \) is a graph, \( x \in V(G), \varphi \in \alpha(G) \), then let us set
\[
S_G(x, \varphi) \equiv \{ \varphi(e)/e \in E(G), e \text{ is incident with } x \}.
\]

We say that \( \varphi \in \alpha(G) \) is persistent-interval in the vertex \( x_0 \in V(G) \) of the graph \( G \) iff \( S_G(x_0, \varphi) = [1, d_G(x_0)] \). We say that \( \varphi \in \alpha(G) \) is persistent-interval on the set \( R_0 \subseteq V(G) \) iff \( \varphi \) is persistent-interval in \( \forall x \in R_0 \).

We say that \( \varphi \in \alpha(G) \) is interval in the vertex \( x_0 \in V(G) \) of the graph \( G \) iff \( S_G(x_0, \varphi) \) is an interval. We say that \( \varphi \in \alpha(G) \) is interval on the set \( R_0 \subseteq V(G) \) iff \( \varphi \) is interval in \( \forall x \in R_0 \).

We say that a subset \( R \) of vertices of a graph \( G \) has an \( i \)-property iff there exists \( \varphi \in \alpha(G) \) interval on \( R \); for a subset \( R \subseteq V(G) \) with an \( i \)-property, the minimum value of \( t \) warranting existence of \( \varphi \in \alpha(G, t) \) interval on \( R \) is denoted by \( w_R(G) \).

Notice that the problem of deciding whether the set of all vertices of an arbitrary graph has an \( i \)-property is \( NP \)-complete \([7, 17]\). Unfortunately, even for an arbitrary bipartite graph (in this case the interest is strengthened owing to the application of an \( i \)-property in timetablings \([6, 17]\)) the problem keeps the complexity of a general case \([8, 12, 24]\). Some positive results were obtained for graphs of certain classes with numerical or structural restrictions \([11, 13, 20, 17, 19, 21, 22, 14, 15, 27, 28]\). The examples of bipartite graphs whose sets of vertices have not an \( i \)-property are given in \([6, 13, 16, 24]\).

The subject of this research is a parameter \( w_R(G) \) of a bipartite graph \( G = G(X, Y, E) \) in that case when \( R \) coincides with the set of all vertices of one part of \( G \) (the exact value of this parameter for an arbitrary bipartite graph is not known as yet). We obtain an upper bound of the parameter being discussed for biregular \([3, 4, 2, 5, 23]\) bipartite graphs, and the exact values of it in the case of the complete bipartite graph \( K_{m,n} \) \((m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N})\) as well.

The terms and concepts that we do not define can be found in \([26]\).

First we recall some known results.

**Theorem 1** \([7, 8, 17]\) If \( R \) is the set of all vertices of one part of an arbitrary bipartite graph \( G = G(X, Y, E) \), then: 1) there exists \( \varphi \in \alpha(G, |E|) \) interval on \( R \), 2) for \( \forall t \in [w_R(G), |E|] \), there exists \( \psi_t \in \alpha(G, t) \) interval on \( R \).

**Theorem 2** \([7, 8, 17]\) Let \( G = G(X, Y, E) \) be a bipartite graph. If for \( \forall e = (x, y) \in E \), where \( x \in X, y \in Y \), the inequality \( d_G(y) \leq d_G(x) \) is true, then \( \exists \varphi \in \alpha(G, \Delta(G)) \) persistent-interval on \( X \).

**Corollary 1** \([7, 8, 17]\) Let \( G = G(X, Y, E) \) be a bipartite graph. If \( \max_{y \in Y} d_G(y) \leq \min_{x \in X} d_G(x) \), then \( \exists \varphi \in \alpha(G, \Delta(G)) \) persistent-interval on \( X \).

**Remark 1** Note that Corollary 1 follows from the result of \([10]\).

Let \( H = H(\mu, \nu) \) be a \((0,1)\)-matrix with \( \mu \) rows, \( \nu \) columns, and with elements \( h_{ij}, \) \( 1 \leq i \leq \mu, 1 \leq j \leq \nu \). The \( i \)-th row of \( H \), \( i \in [1, \mu] \), is called collected, iff \( h_{ip} = h_{iq} = 1 \), \( t \in [p, q] \) imply \( h_{it} = 1 \), and the inequality \( \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} h_{ij} \geq 1 \) is true. Similarly, the \( j \)-th column of \( H \), \( j \in [1, \nu] \), is called collected, iff \( h_{pj} = h_{qj} = 1 \), \( t \in [p, q] \) imply \( h_{ij} = 1 \), and the
inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} h_{ij} \geq 1$ is true. If all rows and all columns of $H$ are collected, then for $i$-th row of $H$, $i \in [1, \mu]$, we define the number $\varepsilon(i, H) \equiv \min\{j/h_{ij} = 1\}$.

$H$ is called a collected matrix, iff all its rows and all its columns are collected, $h_{11} = h_{i\mu} = 1$, and $\varepsilon(1, H) \leq \varepsilon(2, H) \leq \cdots \leq \varepsilon(\mu, H)$.

$H$ is called a $b$-regular matrix ($b \in \mathbb{N}$), iff for $\forall i \in [1, \mu]$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} h_{ij} = b$. $H$ is called a $c$-compressed matrix ($c \in \mathbb{N}$), iff for $\forall j \in [1, \nu]$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} h_{ij} \leq c$.

**Lemma 1** ([18]) If a collected $n$-regular ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) matrix $P = P(m, w)$ with elements $p_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq w$) is $n$-compressed, then $w \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor \cdot n$.

**Proof.** We use induction on $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor$.

If $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor = 1$, the statement is trivial.

Now assume that $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor = \lambda_0 \geq 2$, and the statement is true for all collected $n'$-regular $n'$-compressed matrixes $P'(m', w')$ with $\left\lfloor \frac{m'}{n'} \right\rfloor \leq \lambda_0 - 1$.

First of all let us prove that $\varepsilon(n + 1, P) \geq n + 1$. Assume the contrary: $\varepsilon(n + 1, P) \leq n$. Since $P$ is a collected $n$-regular matrix, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{im} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{im} \geq n + 1$, which is impossible because $P(m, w)$ is an $n$-compressed matrix. This contradiction shows that $\varepsilon(n + 1, P) \geq n + 1$.

Now let us form a new matrix $P'(m - n, w - (\varepsilon(n + 1, P) - 1))$ by deleting from the matrix $P$ the elements $p_{ij}$, which satisfy at least one of the inequalities $i \leq n$, $j \leq \varepsilon(n + 1, P) - 1$.

It is not difficult to see that $P'(m - n, w - (\varepsilon(n + 1, P) - 1))$ is a collected $n$-regular $n$-compressed matrix with $\left\lfloor \frac{m - n}{n} \right\rfloor = \lambda_0 - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$w - (\varepsilon(n + 1, P) - 1) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m - n}{n} \right\rfloor \cdot n,$$

which means that

$$w \geq (\lambda_0 - 1)n + \varepsilon(n + 1, P) - 1 \geq (\lambda_0 - 1)n + n = \lambda_0 n = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor \cdot n.$$

Now, for arbitrary positive integers $m, l, n, k$, where $m \geq n$ and $ml = nk$, let us define the class $Bip(m, l, n, k)$ of biregular bipartite graphs:

$$Bip(m, l, n, k) \equiv \left\{ G = G(X, Y, E) \middle| |X| = m, |Y| = n, \, \forall x \in X, d_G(x) = l, \, \forall y \in Y, d_G(y) = k \right\}.$$

**Remark 2** Clearly, if $G \in Bip(m, l, n, k)$, then $\chi'(G) = k$.

**Theorem 3** If $G = G(X, Y, E) \in Bip(m, l, n, k)$, then $w_Y(G) = k$, $w_X(G) \leq l \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor$.

**Proof.** The equality follows from Remark 2. Let us prove the inequality.

Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$. For $\forall r \in [1, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor]$, define $X_r \equiv \{x_{(r-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{rl}\}$. Define $X_{1+\left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor} \equiv X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor} X_i$. For $\forall r \in [1, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor]$, define $Y_r \equiv \bigcup_{x \in X_r} N_G(x)$. Define $Y_{1+\left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor} \equiv \bigcup_{x \in X_{1+\left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor}} N_G(x)$. For $\forall r \in [1, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor]$, define $G_r \equiv G[X_r \cup Y_r]$.

Consider the sequence $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor}$ of subgraphs of the graph $G$. From Corollary 1 we obtain that for $\forall i \in [1, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{l} \right\rfloor]$, there is $\varphi_i \in \alpha(G_i, l)$ persistent-interval on $X_i$. 

Clearly, for $\forall e \in E(G)$, there exists the unique $\xi(e)$, satisfying the conditions $\xi(e) \in [1, \lfloor \frac{m}{l} \rfloor]$ and $e \in E(G_{\xi(e)})$.

Define a function $\psi : E(G) \to [1, l \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{l} \rfloor]$. For an arbitrary $e \in E(G)$, set $\psi(e) \equiv (\xi(e) - 1) \cdot l + \varphi_{\xi(e)}(e)$.

It is not difficult to see that $\psi \in \alpha(G, l \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{l} \rfloor)$ and $\psi$ is interval on $X$. Hence, $w_X(G) \leq l \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{l} \rfloor$.

\textbf{Theorem 4} Let $R$ be the set of all vertices of one part of the complete bipartite graph $G = K_{m,n}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$w_R(G) = (m + n - |R|) \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{|R|}{m + n - |R|} \right\rfloor.$$ 

\textbf{Proof.} Without loss of generality we can assume that $G$ has a bipartition $(X,Y)$, where $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, and $m \geq n$.

\textbf{Case 1} 1 $R = Y$. In this case the statement follows from Theorem 3; thus $w_Y(G) = m$.

\textbf{Case 2} 2 $R = X$.

The inequality $w_X(G) \leq n \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor$ follows from Theorem 3. Let us prove that $w_X(G) \geq n \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor$.

Consider an arbitrary proper edge $w_X(G)$-coloring $\varphi$ of the graph $G$, which is interval on $X$.

Clearly, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\min(S_G(x_1, \varphi)) \leq \min(S_G(x_2, \varphi)) \leq \ldots \leq \min(S_G(x_m, \varphi)).$$

Let us define a $(0,1)$-matrix $P(m, w_X(G))$ with $m$ rows, $w_X(G)$ columns, and with elements $p_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq w_X(G)$. For $\forall i \in [1, m]$ and for $\forall j \in [1, w_X(G)]$, set

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i \leq j \in S_G(x_i, \varphi) \\ 0, & i \leq j \notin S_G(x_i, \varphi). \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that $P(m, w_X(G))$ is a collected $n$-regular $n$-compressed matrix. From Lemma 7 we obtain $w_X(G) \geq n \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor$.

From Theorems 1 and 3 taking into account the proof of Case 2 of Theorem 4 we also obtain

\textbf{Theorem 5} If $G \in Bip(m, l, n, k)$, then

1. for $\forall t \in \lceil l \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{l} \rfloor, ml \rceil$, there exists $\varphi_t \in \alpha(G, t)$ interval on $X$,

2. for $\forall t \in [k, nk]$, there exists $\psi_t \in \alpha(G, t)$ interval on $Y$. 
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