Higher Order Slow-Roll Predictions for Inflation
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We study the WKB approximation beyond leading order for cosmological perturbations during inflation. To first order in the slow-roll parameters, we show that an improved WKB approximation leads to \emph{analytical} results agreeing to within 0.1\% with the standard slow-roll results. Moreover, the leading WKB approximation to second order in the slow-roll parameters leads to \emph{analytical} predictions in qualitative agreement with those obtained by the Green’s function method.
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\begin{quote}
\textbf{Introduction.} It is nowadays common to state that we are in the era of precision cosmology. The implication of present and future data sets will be able to discriminate among different inflationary models \cite{1}. For this reason, the comparison of inflationary models with observations requires theoretical advances in the predictions for the power spectrum of primordial perturbations beyond the lowest order in the slow-roll parameters worked out by Stewart and Lyth \cite{2}. Such slow-roll parameters quantify the deviation from an exactly exponential expansion during inflation, and are related for a canonical inflaton to the derivative of the potential. Among the many different conventions, we find it convenient to use the hierarchy of horizon flow functions \( \epsilon_i \) \cite{3}, defined as \( \epsilon_{i+1} = \epsilon_i / (H \epsilon_i) \), with \( \epsilon_1 = -H / H^2 \), \( H = \dot{a} / a \) the Hubble parameter, \( a \) the scale factor and dots denote derivatives respect to the cosmic time.

The search for deviations from a simple power-law parameterization of the primordial power spectrum in the first year of WMAP data has begun \cite{4}, with however no statistically conclusive evidence for a significant deviation. Stronger conclusions about any deviations will be made possible by the better resolution of the PLANCK satellite \cite{5}. In the context of inflation, the deviations from power-law spectra considered so far correspond to the predictions beyond the first order in the slow-roll parameters and are expected in general.

The purpose of this letter is to show how the slow-roll power spectrum of cosmological perturbations generated in a single field inflationary model can be \emph{analytically} predicted by the WKB method with sufficient accuracy. We shall also show how two different analytical approximations, such as the Green’s function method (GFM henceforth) \cite{6} and the WKB method \cite{7,8,9} used here, agree to second order on the polynomial structure of the results in the horizon flow functions during inflation, but differ in the numerical coefficients of the second order terms (the same polynomial structure in the spectral indices is found by the uniform approximation \cite{10,11,12}).

\textbf{Cosmological perturbations.} Let us begin by recalling that scalar (density) and tensor (gravitational wave) fluctuations on a Robertson-Walker background are given respectively by \( \mu = \mu_S \equiv a Q (Q \text{ is the Mukhanov variable}) \) \cite{13} and \( \mu = \mu_T \equiv a h \) \( (h \text{ is the amplitude of the two polarizations of gravitational waves}) \) \cite{14}. The functions \( \mu \) must satisfy the one-dimensional Schrödinger-like equation

\begin{equation}
\left[ \frac{d^2}{d \eta^2} + \Omega^2(k, \eta) \right] \mu = 0 ,
\end{equation}

together with the initial condition

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\frac{\eta}{T} \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(k, \eta) \simeq \frac{e^{-ik \eta}}{\sqrt{2k}} ,
\end{equation}

In the above \( \eta \) is the conformal time, \( k \) is the wavenumber, and

\begin{equation}
\Omega^2(k, \eta) \equiv k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} ,
\end{equation}

where \( z = z_S \equiv a^2 \dot{\phi}/H \) for scalar and \( z = z_T \equiv a \) for tensor perturbations \( (\phi \text{ is the homogenous inflaton and primes denote derivatives with respect to } \eta) \). The dimensionless power spectra of scalar and tensor fluctuations are then given by

\begin{equation}
P_\zeta \equiv \frac{k^3}{2 \pi^2} \left| \frac{\mu_S}{z_S} \right|^2 , \quad P_h \equiv \frac{4 k^3}{\pi^2} \left| \frac{\mu_T}{z_T} \right|^2
\end{equation}

and the spectral indices and runnings by

\begin{equation}
n_S - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln P_\zeta}{d \ln k} \bigg|_{k=k_*} , \quad n_T \equiv \frac{d \ln P_h}{d \ln k} \bigg|_{k=k_*}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\alpha_S \equiv \frac{d^2 \ln P_\zeta}{(d \ln k)^2} \bigg|_{k=k_*} , \quad \alpha_T \equiv \frac{d^2 \ln P_h}{(d \ln k)^2} \bigg|_{k=k_*}
\end{equation}

where \( k_* \) is an arbitrary pivot scale. We also define the tensor-to-scalar ratio

\begin{equation}
R \equiv \frac{P_h}{P_\zeta} \bigg|_{k=k_*}
\end{equation}

\end{quote}
\textbf{Slow-roll and WKB approximations.} One of the very few cases for which the equations for cosmological perturbations can be integrated exactly is that of power-law inflation \cite{15, 16}, where the inflaton is a canonical scalar field with an exponential potential. In such a case, $\epsilon_1$ is constant in time and the hierarchy of the horizon flow functions is therefore truncated with $\epsilon_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. The original slow-roll approximation corresponds to considering both $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ constant in time for any potential \cite{2}.

Although apparently inappropriate for studying physical problems such as the hydrogen atom \cite{15} (or cosmological perturbations \cite{16}), the WKB method can be cleverly applied \textit{after} suitable redefinitions of the wavefunction (for Fourier modes $k$) and variables (with the corresponding “frequency” $\Omega$ replaced by a new expression $\omega$, as given in detail in Refs. \cite{16, 6, 5}). This improved WKB method applied to cosmological perturbations with a linear turning point in $\omega$ does not however predict amplitudes with a sufficient accuracy to lowest order \cite{16}. We have shown in Ref. \cite{6} how the prediction for the amplitude may be improved by using a next-to-leading WKB approximation. Our method involved an adiabatic expansion and the numerical evaluation of the higher-order coefficients which yielded the spectra for a given inflationary model (and were compared with the exact spectra of power-law inflation \cite{6}).

The power spectra to next-to-leading WKB order, in the adiabatic expansion, are given by

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon_1 m_{p}} \left( \frac{k}{aH} \right)^3 e^{2\xi_{\text{H,I.S}}} \frac{\left(1 + g_{(1)\text{S}}^{\text{AD}}\right)}{(1 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{\text{H,I.S}}},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_h = \frac{16 H^2}{\pi m_{p}^2} \left( \frac{k}{aH} \right)^3 e^{2\xi_{\text{H,T}}} \frac{\left(1 + g_{(1)\text{T}}^{\text{AD}}\right)}{(1 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{\text{H,I.T}}},
\end{equation}

where $m_{p}$ is the Planck mass and all quantities are evaluated in the super-horizon limit. A crucial part of our method is the evaluation of $\xi_{\text{II}}$ and $g_{(1)\text{S}}^{\text{AD}}$. In particular, in this work we will estimate \textit{analytically} next-to-leading WKB corrections to $O(\epsilon_i)$ and show that the leading WKB order can also give predictions to $O(\epsilon_i^2)$.

For the precise definition of $g_{(1)\text{S}}^{\text{AD}}$ and its evaluation we refer to Eq. (61b) and Section V of Ref. \cite{6}. The quantity $\xi_{\text{II}}$ was also defined in Eq. (26b) of the same reference, and can in general be written as

\begin{equation}
\xi_{\text{II}}(\eta, \eta_0) = \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} \sqrt{A^2(\eta) - k^2 \eta^2} \frac{d\eta}{\eta},
\end{equation}

with $\eta_0$ the time for which the integrand vanishes, $\eta_1$ the super-horizon limit and $A^2(\eta)$ contains all the dependence on the horizon flow functions $\epsilon_i(\eta)$. In a manner similar to repeated integration by parts, we can obtain general expressions valid for every $A^2(\eta)$ which contain terms easy to evaluate explicitly and new integrals of sufficiently high order in the $\epsilon_i(\eta)$ so that they can be neglected. For example, on employing such a process once, we obtain the identity

\begin{equation}
\xi_{\text{II}}(\eta, \eta_0) = -\sqrt{A^2(\eta) - k^2 \eta^2} - \frac{A(\eta)}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{A(\eta) - \sqrt{A^2(\eta) - k^2 \eta^2}}{A(\eta) + \sqrt{A^2(\eta) - k^2 \eta^2}} \right] - \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} \frac{A'(\eta)}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{A(\eta) - \sqrt{A^2(\eta) - k^2 \eta^2}}{A(\eta) + \sqrt{A^2(\eta) - k^2 \eta^2}} \right] d\eta.
\end{equation}

Since the integral in the right hand side contains $A'$, it is of (at least) one order higher in the $\epsilon_i$ than the remaining terms, which can be calculated explicitly. More details, omitted here for the sake of brevity, will be given in a forthcoming paper \cite{18}.

\textbf{Next-to-leading WKB order and first slow-roll order.} The adiabatic corrections $g_{(1)\text{S}}^{\text{AD}}$ in Eqs. (8) to leading order in the horizon flow functions are given by

\begin{equation}
g_{(1)\text{S}}^{\text{AD}} = \frac{37}{324} - \frac{19}{243} \left( \epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_2 \right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
g_{(1)\text{T}}^{\text{AD}} = \frac{37}{324} - \frac{19}{243} \epsilon_1.
\end{equation}

We can now write the expressions for the scalar and tensor spectra to next-to-leading WKB order (indicated by the subscript WKB) and first slow-roll order (indicated by the superscript (1))

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_{\zeta,\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon_1 m_{p}^2} A_{\text{WKB}} \left[ 1 - 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1 - D_{\text{WKB}} \epsilon_2 - (2 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \ln \left( \frac{k}{k_*} \right) \right]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_{h,\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = \frac{16 H^2}{\pi m_{p}^2} A_{\text{WKB}} \left[ 1 - 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1 - 2 \epsilon_1 \ln \left( \frac{k}{k_*} \right) \right],
\end{equation}

where $A_{\text{WKB}} = 361/18 e^3 \approx 0.999$ and $D_{\text{WKB}} = \frac{7}{19} - \ln 3 \approx -0.7302$. The slow-roll approximation \cite{2} predicts,
for the corresponding quantities, \( A_{\text{IR}} = 1 \) and \( D_{\text{IR}} = C \equiv \gamma_E + \ln 2 - 2 \approx -0.7296 \) (where \( \gamma_E \) is the Euler-Mascheroni constant). Thus, the next-to-leading WKB order gives an error of about 0.1\% for the estimate of the amplitude and one of about 0.08\% on the coefficient \( C \).

This shows that analytical results obtained by the WKB method have reached the same accuracy as the standard slow-roll approximation. We also obtain the same slow-roll spectral indices and \( \alpha \)-runnings,

\[
  n_{S,\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = -2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \quad n_{T,\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = -2\epsilon_1 \tag{9b}
\]

\[
  \alpha_{S,\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = \alpha_{T,\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = 0 , \tag{9c}
\]
on using respectively Eqs. (4b) and (4c). From Eq. (4d) the tensor-to-scalar ratio becomes

\[
  R_{\text{WKB}}^{(1)} = 16\epsilon_1 (1 + D_{\text{WKB}}) \epsilon_2 . \tag{9d}
\]

**Leading WKB order and second slow-roll order.** We would now like to increase our accuracy in the slow-roll parameters to second order, while keeping the WKB approximation to leading order (a further improved treatment to second order in the slow-roll parameters is in progress \[18\]). On setting \( \theta^{(1)}_\text{AD} = 0 \) in Eqs. (6), we can write the expressions for the scalar and tensor perturbations to leading WKB order (indicated by the subscript WKB), and second slow-roll order (indicated with the superscript (2)) as

\[
P_{S,\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = \frac{H^2}{\pi \epsilon_1 m_{\text{Pl}}^2} A_{\text{WKB}} \left\{ 1 - 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1 - D_{\text{WKB}} \epsilon_2 + \left( 2 D_{\text{WKB}}^2 + 2 D_{\text{WKB}} - \frac{1}{9} \right) \epsilon_1^2 + \left( \frac{D_{\text{WKB}}^2 - D_{\text{WKB}} + \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{20}{9} \right) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} D_{\text{WKB}}^2 + \frac{2}{9} \right) \epsilon_2^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} D_{\text{WKB}}^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{24} - \frac{1}{18} \right) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 + [-2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_2^2 + (2 D_{\text{WKB}} - 1) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + D_{\text{WKB}} \epsilon_2^2 - D_{\text{WKB}} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3] \ln \left( \frac{k}{k_s} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 4 \epsilon_1^2 + 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2^2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \right) \right\} \tag{10a}
\]

\[
P_{h,\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = \frac{16 H^2}{\pi m_{\text{Pl}}^2} A_{\text{WKB}} \left\{ 1 - 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1 + \left( 2 D_{\text{WKB}}^2 + 2 D_{\text{WKB}} - \frac{1}{9} \right) \epsilon_1^2 + \left( -D_{\text{WKB}}^2 - 2 D_{\text{WKB}} + \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{19}{9} \right) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + [ -2 \epsilon_1 + 2 (2 D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1^2 - 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 ] \ln \left( \frac{k}{k_s} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 4 \epsilon_1^2 - 2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \right) \right\} , \tag{10b}
\]

where \( A_{\text{WKB}} = 18/\epsilon^3 \approx 0.896 \) and \( D_{\text{WKB}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} - \ln 3 \approx -0.7653 \). The spectral indices \[11\] are then given by

\[
n_{S,\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = -2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - 2 \epsilon_2^2 - (2 D_{\text{WKB}} + 3) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - D_{\text{WKB}} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tag{10b}
\]

\[
n_{T,\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = -2\epsilon_1 - 2 \epsilon_2^2 - 2 (D_{\text{WKB}} + 1) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \tag{10c}
\]

and their runnings \[10\] by

\[
\alpha_{S,\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = -2\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 , \quad \alpha_{T,\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = -2\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 . \tag{10c}
\]

We note that the second order correction \(-2 \epsilon_2^2\) to \( n_S \) and \( n_T \) agrees with the exact spectral index for power-law inflation \[23\]. The tensor-to-scalar ratio \[41\] becomes

\[
R_{\text{WKB}}^{(2)} = 16\epsilon_1 \left[ 1 + D_{\text{WKB}} \epsilon_2 + \left( D_{\text{WKB}} + \frac{1}{9} \right) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} D_{\text{WKB}}^2 - \frac{2}{9} \right) \epsilon_2^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} D_{\text{WKB}}^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{24} + \frac{1}{18} \right) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \right] . \tag{10d}
\]

Of course, Eqs. (10a)-(10c) give the same results as obtained in Ref. \[7\], to first order in the horizon flow functions. As already found in \[7\], the leading WKB order gives an error of about 10\% for the estimate of the amplitude and one of about 5\% on \( C \) with respect to standard slow-roll results. The runnings \( \alpha_S \) and \( \alpha_T \) are predicted to be of \( O(\epsilon_2^2) \) \[10\], and in agreement with those obtained by the GFM \[1, 20\]. It is noteworthy that our power spectra and spectral indices have the same polynomial struc-
matter in the $\epsilon_i$ as those of the latter references. For the spectral indices $n_S$ and $n_T$ an analogous structure is also confirmed by the uniform approximation.

Conclusions. We have shown that inflationary theoretical predictions have now reached expressions to second order in the slow-roll parameters confirmed by two completely different approximation schemes, such as the GFM and WKB. As can be seen from Fig. 1 for some inflationary models, second order slow-roll corrections to the power spectrum are necessary to perform a correct comparison between theoretical predictions and observational data. The figure also shows that not only the runnings are important, but also the $O(\epsilon_i^2)$ terms in the spectral indices.

The different predictions of the two methods for the numerical coefficients in front of the $O(\epsilon_i^2)$ terms are at most of the order of 5% for the spectral indices and of 10% in the amplitudes. For slow-roll parameters $\epsilon_i \sim 0.1$, this leads to an accuracy of about 0.5% in the theoretical predictions for the tensor-to-scalar ratio. A similar accuracy (of 0.1%) has also been reached by the Boltzmann codes. The predictions in the numerical coefficients and in the amplitude can be further improved by employing the next-to-leading WKB method while including terms to second order in the slow-roll parameters.
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[23] For power-law inflation $\epsilon_1 = 1/p$, $n_S = n_T$ and the exact spectral index is $n_T = -1 - 2/(p - 1)$. For large $p$, $n_T = 1 - 2/p - 2/p^2 + O(p^{-3})$. See [11] for the most recent result based on the uniform approximation which contains the correct term $-2\epsilon_i^2$ in $n_T$.
[24] An agreement on the polynomial structure, but not in the numerical coefficients, also holds for the spectral indices $n_S$ and $n_T$, which are the present predictions of the...
uniform approximation \cite{1, 10, 11}.