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Abstract

We study some $q$-analogues of the Racah polynomials and some of their applications in the theory of representation of quantum algebras.

1 Introduction

In the paper [6] an orthogonal polynomial family that generalizes the Racah coefficients or $6j$-symbols was introduced: the so-called Racah and $q$-Racah polynomials. These polynomials were in the top of the so-called Askey Scheme (see e.g. [14]) that contains all classical families of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. Some years later the same authors [7] introduced the celebrated Askey-Wilson polynomials. One of the important properties of these polynomials is that from them one can obtain all known families of hypergeometric polynomials and $q$-polynomials as particular cases or as limit cases (for a review on this see the nice survey [14]). The main tool in these two works was the hypergeometric and basic series, respectively. On the other hand, the authors of [21] (see also [20, Russian Edition]) considered the $q$-polynomials as the solution of a second order difference equation of hypergeometric-type on the non-linear lattice $x(s) = c_1 q^s + c_2 q^{-s} + c_3$. In particular, they show that the solution of the hypergeometric-type equation can be expressed as certain basic series and, in such a way, they recovered the results by Askey & Wilson.

The interest of such polynomials increase after the appearance of the $q$-algebras and quantum groups [9] [10] [12] [16] [24]. However, from the first attends to built the $q$-analogue of the Wigner-Racah formalism for the simplest quantum algebra $U_q(su(2))$ [13] (see also [11] [14] [17]) becomes clear that for obtaining the $q$-polynomials intimately connected with the $q$-analonges of the Racah and Clebsh Gordan coefficients, i.e., a $q$-analogue of the Racah polynomials $u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$ and the dual Hahn polynomials $w_n^{\alpha}(x(s), a, b)_q$, respectively, it is better to use a different lattice —in fact the $q$-Racah polynomials $R^{\alpha,\gamma}_{\alpha} (x(s), N, \delta)_q$ introduced in [14] (see also [13]) were defined on the lattice $x(s) = q^{-s} + \delta q^{-N} q^s$ that depends not only of the variable $s$ but also on the parameters of the polynomials—, namely,

$$x(s) = [s]_q [s + 1]_q,$$

that only depends on $s$, where by $[s]_q$ we denote the $q$-numbers (in its symmetric form)

$$[s]_q = \frac{q^{s/2} - q^{-s/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2}$$

*Classification MSC numbers: 33D80, 33D45
With this choice the $q$-Racah polynomials $u^a_{q} \psi(x(s), a, b)_q$ are proportional to the $q$-Racah coefficients (or 6j-symbols) of the quantum algebra $U_q(su(2))$. A very nice and simple approach to 6j-symbols has been recently developed in [23].

Moreover, this connection gives the possibility to a deeper study of the Wigner-Racah formalism (or the $q$-analogue of the quantum theory of angular momentum [24, 19, 20, 28] for the quantum algebras $U_q(su(2))$ and $U_q(su(1, 1))$ using the powerful and well-known theory of orthogonal polynomials on non-uniform lattices. On the other hand, using the $q$-analogue of the quantum theory of angular momentum [24, 19, 20, 28] we can obtain several results for the $q$-polynomials, some of which are non trivial from the point of view of the theory of orthogonal polynomials (see e.g. the nice surveys [14, 19]). In fact, in the present paper we present a detailed study of some $q$-analogues of the Racah polynomials on the lattice (1): the $u^a_{q} \psi(x(s), a, b)_q$ and the $\tilde{u}^a_{q} \psi(x(s), a, b)_q$ as well as their connection with the $q$-Racah coefficients (or 6j-symbols) of the quantum algebra $U_q(su(2))$ in order to establish which properties of the polynomials correspond to the 6j-symbols and vice versa.

The structure of the paper is as follows: in section 2 we present some general results from the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the non-uniform lattices taken from [3, 20]. In Section 2.1 a detailed discussion of the Racah polynomials $u^a_{q} \psi(x(s), a, b)_q$ is presented, whereas in Section 2.2 the $\tilde{u}^a_{q} \psi(x(s), a, b)_q$ are considered. In particular, a relation between these families is established.

In Section 3 the comparative analysis of such families and the 6j-symbols of the quantum algebra $U_q(su(2))$ is developed which gives, on one hand, some information about the Racah coefficients and, on the other hand, allows us to give a group-theoretical interpretation of the Racah polynomials on the lattice (1). Finally, some comments and remarks about $q$-Racah polynomials and the quantum algebra $U_q(su(3))$ are included.

## 2 Some general properties of $q$-polynomials

We will start with some general properties of orthogonal hypergeometric polynomials on the non-uniform lattices [3, 20].

The hypergeometric polynomials are the polynomial solutions $P_n(x(s))_q$ of the second order linear difference equation of hypergeometric-type on the non-uniform lattice $x(s)$ (SODE)

$$\sigma(s) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\nabla y(s)}{\nabla x(s)} + \frac{\tau(s)}{\Delta x(s)} \frac{\nabla y(s)}{\nabla x(s)} + \lambda y(s) = 0, \quad x(s) = c_1[q^s + q^{-s} - \mu] + c_3, \quad q^\mu = \frac{c_1}{c_2},$$

or, equivalently

$$A_s y(s+1) + B_s y(s) + C_s y(s-1) + \lambda y(s) = 0,$$

where

$$A_s = \frac{\sigma(s) + \tau(s) \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta x(s) \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})}, \quad C_s = \frac{\sigma(s) x(s) \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\nabla x(s) \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})}, \quad B_s = -(A_s + C_s).$$

Notice that $x(s) = x(-s - \mu)$.

In the following we will use the following notations$^1$ $P_n(s)_q := P_n(x(s))_q$ and $\sigma(-s - \mu) = \sigma(s) + \tau(s) \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})$. With this notation the Eq. (4) becomes

$$\sigma(-s - \mu) \frac{\Delta P_n(s)_q}{\Delta x(s)} - \sigma(s) \frac{\nabla P_n(s)_q}{\nabla x(s)} + \lambda_n \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2}) P_n(s)_q = 0.$$  

(5)

The polynomial solutions $P_n(s)_q$ of (3) can be obtained by the following Rodrigues-type formula [20, 22]

$$P_n(s)_q = \frac{B_n}{\rho(s)} \nabla^{(n)} \rho_n(s), \quad \nabla^{(n)} := \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_1(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_2(s)} \cdots \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_n(s)},$$

where $x_m(s) = x(s + \frac{m}{2})$,

$$\rho_n(s) = \rho(s + n) \prod_{m=1}^{n} \sigma(s + m),$$

(6)

(7)

$^1$In the exponential lattice $x(s) = c_1 q^s + c_3$, so $\mu = \pm \infty$, therefore instead of using $\sigma(-s - \mu)$ one should use the equivalent function $\sigma(s) + \tau(s) \Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})$. 


and $\rho(s)$ is a solution of the Pearson-type equation $\Delta [\sigma(s)\rho(s)] = \tau(s)\rho(s)\Delta x(s - 1/2)$, or equivalently,

$$\frac{\rho(s + 1)}{\rho(s)} = \frac{\sigma(s) + \tau(s)\Delta x(s - \frac{1}{2})}{\sigma(s + 1)} = \frac{\sigma(-s - \mu)}{\sigma(s + 1)} \tag{8}$$

Let us point out that the function $\rho_n$ satisfy the equation $\Delta [\sigma(s)\rho_n(s)] = \tau_n(s)\rho_n(s)\Delta x_n(s - 1/2)$, where $\tau_n(s)$ is given by

$$\tau_n(s) = \frac{\sigma(s + n) + \tau(s + n)\Delta x(s + n - \frac{1}{2}) - \sigma(s)}{\Delta x_{n-1}(s)} = \frac{\sigma(-s - n - \mu) - \sigma(s)}{\Delta x_n(s - \frac{1}{2})} = \tau'_n x_n(s) + \tau_n(0),$$

being

$$\tau'_n = -\frac{\lambda_{2n+1}}{[2n+1]_q}, \quad \tau_n(0) = \frac{\sigma(-s_n^* - n - \mu) - \sigma(s_n^*)}{x_n(s_n^* + \frac{1}{2}) - x_n(s_n^* - \frac{1}{2})},$$

where $s_n^*$ is the zero of the function $x_n(s)$, i.e., $x_n(s_n^*) = 0$.

From Eq. (6) follows an explicit formula for the polynomials $P_n$ [20, Eq. (3.2.30)]

$$P_n(s)_q = B_n \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{[m]_q!(-1)^{m+n}}{[m]_q! \cdot [n-m]_q!} \sum_{i=0}^n \Delta x(s + m - \frac{n-1}{2}) \rho_n(s - n + m - \frac{i}{2}) \rho(s),$$

where $[n]_q$ denotes the symmetric $q$-numbers and the $q$-factorials are given by

$$[0]_q ! := 1, \quad [n]_q ! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It can be shown [8, 20, 22] that the most general polynomial solution of the $q$-hypergeometric equation corresponds to

$$\sigma(s) = A \prod_{i=1}^4 (s - s_i)_q = C q^{-2s} \prod_{i=1}^4 (q^s - q^{s_i}), \quad A \cdot C \neq 0 \tag{11}$$

and has the form [22, Eq. (4.9a), page 240]

$$P_n(s)_q = D_n (\Phi_3^\prime \left( q^{-n}; q, q^{2s+n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^4 (q^{s_i + s_1 + \mu}; q)_n (q^{s_i + s_1 + \mu}; q)_n (q^{s_i + s_4 + \mu}; q)_n),$$

where the normalizing factor $D_n$ is given by $(x_q := q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})$

$$D_n = B_n \left( \frac{-A}{c_1 q^{\mu} x_q} \right)^n q^{\frac{n}{2}(3s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + \frac{3n-1}{2})} (q^{s_1 + s_2 + \mu}; q)_n (q^{s_1 + s_4 + \mu}; q)_n (q^{s_1 + s_4 + \mu}; q)_n.$$

The basic hypergeometric series $\Phi_p$ are defined by

$$\Phi_p \left( a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_p ; q, z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1; q)_k \cdots (a_r; q)_k}{(b_1; q)_k \cdots (b_p; q)_k} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k} \left[ (-1)^k q \delta(k-1) \right]^{p-r+1},$$

where $(a; q)_k = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^m)$, is the $q$-analogue of the Pochhammer symbol.

In this paper we will deal with orthogonal $q$-polynomials and functions. It can be proven [20], by using the difference equation of hypergeometric-type [8], that if the boundary conditions $\sigma(s)\rho(s)x^k(s - 1/2)|_{s=a,b} = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$, holds, then the polynomials $P_n(s)_q$ are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $\rho$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(s)_q P_m(s)_q \rho(s)\Delta x(s - 1/2) = \delta_{nm} d_n^2, \quad s = a, a + 1, \ldots, b - 1. \tag{13}$$

The squared norm in [13] is [20, Eq. (3.7.15)]

$$d_n^2 = (-1)^n A_{n,n} B_n^{b-n-1} \sum_{s=a}^{b-n-1} \rho(s)\Delta x_n(s - 1/2), \tag{14}$$
where [20, page 66]
\[
A_{n,k} = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q!} \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{n+m}}{[n+m]_q} \right).
\]
(15)

A simple consequence of the orthogonality is the three-term recurrence relation (TTRR)
\[
x(s)P_n(s) = \alpha_n P_{n+1}(s) + \beta_n P_n(s) + \gamma_n P_{n-1}(s),
\]
(16)
where \(\alpha_n, \beta_n\) and \(\gamma_n\) are given by
\[
\alpha_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{b_n}{a_{n+1}}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} \frac{d_n^2}{d_{n-1}^2},
\]
(17)
being \(a_n\) and \(b_n\) the first and second coefficients in the power expansion of \(P_n\), i.e., \(P_n(s) = a_n x^n(s) + b_n x^{n-1}(s) + \cdots\). Substituting \(s = a\) in (16) we find
\[
\beta_n = \frac{x(a)P_n(a) - \alpha_n P_{n+1}(a) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(a)}{P_n(a)},
\]
(18)
which is an alternative way for finding the coefficient \(\beta_n\). Also we can use the expression [3, page 148]
\[
\beta_n = \frac{[n]_q \tau_{n-1}(0)}{\tau_n'(0)} - \frac{[n+1]_q \tau_n(0)}{\tau_n'(0)} + c_3([n]_q + 1 - [n+1]_q).
\]
To compute \(\alpha_n\) (and \(\beta_n\)) we need the following formulas (see e.g. [3, page 147])
\[
a_n = \frac{B_n A_{n,n}}{[n]_q!}, \quad b_n = \frac{[n]_q \tau_{n-1}(0)}{\tau_n'(0)} + c_3([n]_q - n).
\]
(19)

The explicit expression of \(\lambda_n\) is [22, Eq. (52) page 232]
\[
\lambda_n = -\frac{Aq^\mu}{c_1^2(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^4} [n]_q [s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + 2\mu + n - 1]_q
\]
\[
= -\frac{C}{c_1^2(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2} (1 - q^n) (1 - q^{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4+2\mu+n-1}),
\]
(20)
which can be obtained equating the largest powers of \(q^s\) in \(\tilde{\rho}\).

From the Rodrigues formula [19, §5.6] follows that
\[
\frac{\Delta P_n(s - \frac{1}{2})}{\Delta x(s - \frac{1}{2})} = -\lambda_n B_n \frac{\tilde{P}_{n-1}(s)}{B_{n-1}},
\]
(21)
where \(\tilde{P}_{n-1}\) denotes the polynomial orthogonal with respect to the weight function \(\tilde{\rho}(s) = \rho_1(s - \frac{1}{2})\).

On the other hand, rewriting [9] as
\[
\left( \sigma(s) \nabla_{\sqrt{x_1}(s)} + \tau(s) I \right) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} P_n(s) = -\lambda_n P_n(s),
\]
it can be substituted by the following two first-order difference equations
\[
\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} P_n(s) = Q(s), \quad \left( \sigma(s) \nabla_{\sqrt{x_1}(s)} + \tau(s) I \right) Q(s) = -\lambda_n P_n(s).
\]
(22)
Using the fact that \(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} P_n(s)\) is a polynomial of degree \(n - 1\) on \(x(s + 1/2)\) (see [20, §3.1]) it follows that
\[
\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} P_n(s) = C_n P_{n-1}(s + \frac{1}{2}),
\]
where \(C_n\) is a normalizing constant. Comparison with [21] implies that \(Q(s)\) is the polynomial \(\tilde{P}_{n-1}\) orthogonal with respect to the function \(\rho_1(s - \frac{1}{2})\) and \(C_n = -\lambda_n B_n / B_{n-1}\). Therefore, the second expression in (22) becomes
\[
P_n(s) = B_n B_{n-1} \left( \sigma(s) \nabla_{\sqrt{x_1}(s)} + \tau(s) I \right) \tilde{P}_{n-1}(s + \frac{1}{2}),
\]
(23)
The $q$-polynomials satisfy the following differentiation-type formula \[\tag{19} \text{Eq. (5.6.1)}\]
\[
\sigma(s) \frac{\nabla P_n(s)}{\nabla x(s)} = \frac{\lambda_n}{[n]_q t_n^q} \left[ \tau_n(s) P_n(s)_q - \frac{B_n}{B_{n+1}} P_{n+1}(s)_q \right].
\]
(24)

Then, using the explicit expression for the coefficient $\alpha_n$, we find
\[
\sigma(s) \frac{\nabla P_n(s)}{\nabla x(s)} = \frac{\lambda_n}{[n]_q t_n^q} \left[ \tau_n(s) P_n(s)_q - \frac{\alpha_n \lambda_n}{[2n]_q} P_{n+1}(s)_q \right].
\]
(25)

From the above equation using the identity $\Delta \nabla P_n(s)_q = \frac{\Delta P_n(s)_q}{\nabla x(s)} - \frac{\Delta P_{n+1}(s)_q}{\nabla x(s)}$ as well as the SODE \[\tag{25}\]
\[
\sigma(-s - \mu) \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} = \frac{\lambda_n}{[n]_q t_n^q} \left[ \left( \tau_n(s) - \frac{B_n}{B_{n+1}} \right) P_n(s)_q - \frac{B_n}{B_{n+1}} P_{n+1}(s)_q \right].
\]
(26)

To conclude this section we will introduce the following notation by Nikiforov and Uvarov \[\tag{20} \text{Eq. (3.11.1)}\]
\[
\Gamma_q(a + k) = (-1)^k (q^a; q)_k (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^{-k} q^\frac{k(k-1)}{4},
\]
(27)

where $\Gamma_q(x)$ is the $q$-analog of the $\Gamma$ function introduced in \[\tag{20} \text{Eq. (3.2.24)}\] and related to the classical $q$-Gamma function $\Gamma_q$ by formula
\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s) = q^{-\frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{4}} \Gamma_q(s) = q^{-\frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{4}} (1 - q)^{1-s} \frac{[q; q]_\infty}{(q^2; q)_{\infty}}, \quad 0 < q < 1.
\]

Next we define the $q$-hypergeometric function \[\tag{24}\]
\[
r_P \left( a_1, \ldots, a_r \atop b_1, \ldots, b_p \right) \Big| q, z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_r)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_p)_k \cdot (1)_k} \left( \frac{z}{q^k} \right)^{p-r+1},
\]
(28)

where, as before, $\varphi_q = q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}$, and $(a|q)_k$ are given by \[\tag{24}\] Notice that
\[
\lim_{q \to 1} r_P \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \atop b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p \right) \Big| q, z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_r)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_p)_k \cdot k!} \left( \frac{z}{q^k} \right)^{p-r+1} = \sum_P \phi_P \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \atop b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_p \right) \Big| q, z \right),
\]
(29)

where $t_0 = z q^{\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i)} - 1$.

Using the above notation the polynomial solutions of \[\tag{23}\] is given by \[\tag{22} \text{Eq. (49)}, \text{page 232}\]
\[
P_n(s)_q = \sum_P \left( \begin{array}{c} A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \varphi_q^2}} \\ c_1 q^\frac{1}{2} \varphi_q^2 \end{array} \right)^n (s_1 + s_2 + \mu | q)_n (s_1 + s_3 + \mu | q)_n \times
\]
\[
(s_1 + s_4 + \mu | q)_n \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, 2\mu + n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} s_i, s_1 - s, s_1 + s + \mu \end{array} \right) \right)_q \right)_n \left( \begin{array}{c} q, 1 \end{array} \right).
\]
(30)

\section{The $q$-Racah polynomials}

Here we will consider the $q$-Racah polynomials $u_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(x, a, b)_q$ on the lattice $x(s) = [s]_q [s+1]_q$ introduced in \[\tag{21} \text{Eq. (17)} \text{[20]}\]. For this lattice one has
\[
c_1 = q^2 \varphi_q^{-2}, \quad \mu = 1, \quad c_3 = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \varphi_q^{-2}.
\]
Let choose $\sigma$ in (11) as

$$
\sigma(s) = -\frac{q^{-2s}}{\mathcal{W}_q^n a s} (q^a - q^n)(q^a - q^{-b})(q^a - q^{\beta - a})(q^a - q^{\beta + a}) = [s - a]_q [s + b]_q [s + a - \beta]_q [b + \alpha - s]_q,
$$
i.e., $s_1 = a, s_2 = -b, s_3 = \beta - a, s_4 = b + \alpha, C = -q^{1/2(\alpha + \beta)} \mathcal{W}_q^{-4}, A = -1,$ and let $B_n = (-1)^n/[n]_q!.$

Here, as before, $\mathcal{W}_q = q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}.$ Now from (20) we find

$$
\lambda_n = q^{1/2(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)} \mathcal{W}_q^{2(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{\alpha + \beta + n + 1})} = [n]_q [n + \alpha + \beta + 1]_q.
$$

To obtain $\tau_n(s)$ we use (3). In this case $x_n(s) = [s + n/2]_q [s + n/2 + 1]_q$, then, choosing $s^*_n = -n/2$, we get

$$
\tau_n(s) = \tau^*_n x_n(s) + \tau_n(0), \quad \tau_n(s) = \tau^*_n x_n(s) + \sigma(-n/2 - 1) - \sigma(-n/2).
$$

Taking into account that $\tau(s) = \tau_0(s)$, we obtain the corresponding function $\tau(s)$

$$
\tau(s) = [-2 + \alpha + \beta]_q x(s) + \sigma(1) - \sigma(0).
$$

2.1.1 The orthogonality and the norm $d^2_n$

A solution of the Pearson-type difference equation (8) is

$$
\rho(s) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s - a + \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + a + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b + a - s)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s - a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + a - \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b - s - n)}.
$$

Since $\sigma(a)\rho(a) = \sigma(b)\rho(b) = 0$, then the $q$-Racah polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$
\sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \binom{a}{s} (q, a, b)_q(\tau) \rho(s)[2s + 1]_q = 0, \quad n \neq m,
$$

with the restrictions $-\frac{1}{2} < a < b - 1, \alpha > -1, -1 < \beta < 2a + 1$. Let us now compute the square of the norm $d^2_n$. From (9) and (15) follow

$$
\rho_n(s) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n - a + \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + a + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b + a - s)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s - a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + a - \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b - s - n)}.
$$

$$
A_{a,n} = [n]_q! (-1)^n \tilde{\Gamma}_q(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1) = \Lambda_n := (-1)^n A_{a,n} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)}
$$

Taking into account that $\nabla x_{n+1}(s) = [2s + n + 1]_q$, using (14), and the identity

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_q(A - s) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(A)(-1)^{s}}{(1 - A)_q s}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(1 - A)_q = \tilde{\Gamma}_q(1 - A)_q
$$

we have

$$
d^2_n = \Lambda_n \sum_{s=a}^{b-n-1} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n - a + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + a + b + a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b + a - s)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s - a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + a - \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b - s - n)[2s + n + 1]_q^{1}}
$$

$$
= \Lambda_n \sum_{s=0}^{b-a-n-1} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + 2a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + b + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + n + a + b + a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b - a + a - s)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + b + a + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s + 2a - \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b - a - s - n)[2s + 2a + n + 1]_q^{1}}
$$

$$
= \tilde{\Gamma}_q(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1) \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2a + n + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(n + \beta + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(2a + n + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(2a + n + \alpha + 1) \tilde{\Gamma}_q(b + a - s)}{[n]_q! \Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n + 1) \Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n + 1) \Gamma_q(2a + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(b - a - n)} \times
$$

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{b-a-n-1} \frac{(n + 2a + 1, n + \beta + 1, n + a + \alpha + b + 1, 1 - b + a + n]_q s}{(1, a + b + 1, 2a - \beta + 1, 1 - b + a - \alpha) s}[2s + 2a + n + 1]_q.
$$
In the following we denote by $S_n$ the sum in the last expression. If we now use that $(a|q)_n = (-1)^n(q^a; q)_nq^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+2a-1)x_n}$, as well as the identity

$$[2s + 2a + n + 1]_q = q^{-s}[2a + n + 1]_q\left(-\frac{q^a + q^{\frac{1}{2}+b+1}}{q^a + q^{\frac{1}{2}+b+1}}\right)^s,$$

we obtain

$$S_n = \sum_{s=0}^{b-a-n-1} \frac{(q^{2a+n+1}, q^{n+1+b+1}, q^{n+a+b+1}, q^{1-b+a+n}, q^{2(2a+n+1)}, -q^{\frac{1}{2}(2a+n+1)}, q^{\frac{1}{2}(2a+n+1)}, -q^{\frac{1}{2}(2a+n+1)})(2a+n+1)}{(2a+n+1)_q}[2a+n+1]_q$$

But the above $\phi_5$ series is a very well-poised $\phi_5$ basic series and therefore by using the summation formula Eq. (II.21) page 238

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} a, qa^{1/2}, -qa^{1/2}, b, c, q^{-k} \\ a^{1/2}, -a^{1/2}, qa/b, qa/c, qa^{k+1} \\ \end{array}\right) = \frac{(aq, aq/bc; q)_k}{(aq/b, aq/c; q)_k},$$

with $k = b-a-n-1, a = q^{2a+n+1}, b = q^{n+1+b+1}, c = q^{n+a+b+1}$, we obtain

$$S_n = [2a+n+1]_q\frac{(q^{2a+n+2}, q^{n+a-b-a-\beta}; q)_{b-a-n-1}}{(q^{a-b+1}, q^{a-b-a-1}; q)_{b-a-n-1}}$$

Finally, using (33) and (27)

$$S_n = [2a+n+1]_q\frac{\Gamma_q(a+b+1)\Gamma_q(2a-\beta+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+\alpha+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+n+1)}{\Gamma_q(n+2a+2)\Gamma_q(b+a-\beta-n)\Gamma_q(a+\beta+2n+2)\Gamma_q(b-a+\alpha)}$$

thus

$$d_n^2 = \frac{\Gamma_q(a+b+2a+1)\Gamma_q(2a+n+1)\Gamma_q(n+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)\Gamma_q(b+a+n)}{[n]_q\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+1)\Gamma_q(2a-\beta+1)\Gamma_q(b-a-n)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma_q(a+n+1)\Gamma_q(\beta+n+1)}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q}\frac{\Gamma_q(b-a+\alpha+\beta+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+\alpha+n+1)}{\Gamma_q(a+\beta+n+1)\Gamma_q(b-a-n)\Gamma_q(a+b-\beta-n)}$$

2.1.2 The hypergeometric representation

From formula (12) and (30) the following two equivalent hypergeometric representations hold

$$u_n^\alpha,\beta(x(s), a, b)_q = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(2a+\alpha+b+\beta+n+1)}(q^{a-b+1}; q)_n(q^{\beta+1}; q)_n(q^{a+b+\alpha+1}; q)_n \times$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, q^{a+\beta+n+1}, q^{a-s}, q^{a+s+1} \\ q^{a-b+1}, q^{b+1}, q^{a+b+\alpha+1} \\ \end{array}\right| \left(\begin{array}{c} q \end{array}\right),$$

and

$$u_n^\alpha,\beta(x(s), a, b)_q = (a+b+1|q)_n(\beta+1|q)_n(a+b+\alpha+1|q)_n \times$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} -n, a+\beta+n+1, a-s, a+s+1 \\ a+b+1, \beta, 1, a+b+\alpha+1 \\ \end{array}\right| \left(\begin{array}{c} q, 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Using the Sears transformation formula Eq. (III.15]) we obtain the equivalent formulas

$$u_n^\alpha,\beta(x(s), a, b)_q = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(-2b+\alpha+\beta+n+1)}(q^{a-b+1}; q)_n(q^{\alpha+1}; q)_n(q^{\beta-a-b+1}; q)_n \times$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, q^{a+b+\alpha+1}, q^{b-s}, q^{b+s+1} \\ q^{a-b+1}, q^{\alpha+1}, q^{a+b+\beta+1} \\ \end{array}\right| \left(\begin{array}{c} q \end{array}\right),$$

$$u_n^\alpha,\beta(x(s), a, b)_q = (a+b+1|q)_n(\beta+1|q)_n(a+b+\alpha+1|q)_n \times$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} -n, \alpha+\beta+n+1, a-s, a+s+1 \\ a+b+1, \beta, 1, a+b+\alpha+1 \\ \end{array}\right| \left(\begin{array}{c} q, 1 \end{array}\right).$$
From the above formulas follow that the polynomials $u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$ are multiples of the standard $q$-Racah polynomials $R_n(\mu(q^{b+s}); q^a, q^b, q^{a-b}, q^{-a-b}(q))$.

Remark: From the above formulas follow that the polynomials $u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$ are multiples of the standard $q$-Racah polynomials $R_n(\mu(q^{b+s}); q^a, q^b, q^{a-b}, q^{-a-b}(q))$.

From the above hypergeometric representations also follow the values

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b)_q}{[n]_q!} &= \frac{(a - b + 1|q)_n (a + 1|q)_n (a + b + 1|q)_n}{[n]_q!} \\
&= \frac{(q^{a-b+1}; q)_n (q^{b+1}; q)_n (q^{a+b+1}; q)_n}{q^{\frac{n}{2} (2a + 2b + n + 1)}} u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b)_q,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(b-1), a, b)_q}{[n]_q!} &= \frac{(a - b + 1|q)_n (a + 1|q)_n (-a - b + 1|q)_n}{[n]_q!} \\
&= \frac{(q^{a-b+1}; q)_n (q^{a+1}; q)_n (q^{b-a+1}; q)_n}{q^{\frac{n}{2} (2a + 2b + n + 1)}} u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(b-1), a, b)_q.
\end{align*}
\]

The formula (37) leads to the following explicit formula\(^2\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q}{[n]_q!} &= \frac{\Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s + b + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a - \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(b - s)}{\Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s - a + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a - s)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \frac{[2s + 2k - n + 1]_q \Gamma_q(s + k + a + 1) \Gamma_q(2s + k - n + 1)}{\Gamma_q(s - n + 1) \Gamma_q(s + k + a + b + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a - s + n - k)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_q(s + k - a + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(s + k + a + b + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a - s + n - k)}{\Gamma_q(s - n + k + b + 1) \Gamma_q(s - n + k + a - \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(b - s - k)},
\end{align*}
\]

from where follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b)_q}{[n]_q!} &= \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma_q(b - a) \Gamma_q(\beta + n + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a + n + 1)}{\Gamma_q(b - a - n) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a + n + 1)}, \\
\frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(b-1), a, b)_q}{[n]_q!} &= \frac{\Gamma_q(b - a) \Gamma_q(\alpha + n + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a - \beta)}{\Gamma_q(b - a - n) \Gamma_q(\alpha + 1) \Gamma_q(b + a - n)},
\end{align*}
\]

that coincide with the values (38) and (39) obtained before.

From the hypergeometric representation the following symmetry property follows

\[
u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = u_n^{-b-a+\beta+b+a+\alpha}(x(s), a, b)_q.
\]

Finally, notice that from (37) and (40) follows that $u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ on $x(s) = [s]_q[s + 1]_q$. In fact,

\[
(q^{a-s}; q)_k (q^{a+s+1}; q)_k = (-1)^k q^{k(a+s+1)} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x(s) - c_3}{c_1} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}} (q^{a+i+1} + q^{a-i-1}) \right),
\]

where $c_1$ and $c_3$ are given in (31).

### 2.1.3 Three-term recurrence relation and differentiation formulas

To derive the coefficients of the TTRR we use (17) and (18). Using (17) and (18), we obtain

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{[n + 1]_q [\alpha + \beta + n + 1]}{[\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1]_q [\alpha + \beta + 2n + 2]_q},
\]

\[
a_n = \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1)}{[n]_q! \Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)}.
\]

\(^2\)Obviously the formulas (34) and (36) also give equivalent explicit formulas.
Table 1: Main data of the $q$-Racah polynomials $u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_n(s)$</th>
<th>$u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$, $x(s) = [s]_q[s+1]_q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(a, b)$</td>
<td>$[a, b - 1]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho(s)$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_q(s+a+1)\Gamma_q(s-a+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(s+a+b+1)\Gamma_q(b+\alpha-s)$ $\Gamma_q(s-a+1)\Gamma_q(s+b+1)\Gamma_q(s+a+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(b+\alpha-s)$ $-\frac{1}{\alpha} &lt; a \leq b - 1$, $\alpha &gt; -1$, $-1 &lt; \beta &lt; 2a+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma(s)$</td>
<td>$[s-a]_q[s+b]_q[s+\alpha-\beta]_q[b+\alpha-s]_q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma(-s-1)$</td>
<td>$[s+a+1]_q[b-s-1]_q[s-a+\beta+1]_q[b+\alpha+s+1]_q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau(s)$</td>
<td>$[\alpha+1]_q[a]_q[\alpha-\beta]_q + [\beta+1]_q[b]_q[b+a]_q - [\alpha+1]_q[\beta+1]_q - [\alpha+\beta+2]_q x(s)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_n(s)$</td>
<td>$- [\alpha+\beta+2n+2]_q x(s + \frac{1}{2}) + [\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q [b+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q$ $[\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q [b+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q$ $[\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q [b+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q$ $[\alpha+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q [b+\frac{n}{2}+1]_q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_n$</td>
<td>$[n]_q[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_n$</td>
<td>$\frac{(-1)^n}{[n]_q}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_n^2$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_q(a+n+1)\Gamma_q(\beta+n+1)\Gamma_q(b-a+\alpha+\beta+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+\alpha+n+1)$ $\Gamma_q(a+b+\beta+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)$ $\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)$ $\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)$ $\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)\Gamma_q(a+b+n+1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_n(s)$</td>
<td>$\Gamma_q(s+n+a+1)\Gamma_q(s+n-a+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(s+n+\alpha+b+1)\Gamma_q(b+a+1)$ $\Gamma_q(s-a+1)\Gamma_q(s+a-\beta+1)\Gamma_q(b-a-n)$ $\Gamma_q(s+a+1)\Gamma_q(s+\alpha+1)\Gamma_q(s+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(b-a-n)$ $\Gamma_q(s-a+1)\Gamma_q(s+a-\beta+1)\Gamma_q(b-a-n)$ $\Gamma_q(s-a+1)\Gamma_q(s+a-\beta+1)\Gamma_q(b-a-n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_n$</td>
<td>$\frac{\Gamma_q(a+\beta+2n+1)\Gamma_q[a+\beta+n+1]_q}{[n]_q\Gamma_q[a+\beta+n+1]_q}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_n$</td>
<td>$\frac{[n+1]_q[a+\beta+n+1]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[a+\beta+2n+1]_q}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_n$</td>
<td>$[a]_q[a+1]_q - \frac{[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q[a-b+n+1]_q[\beta+n+1]_q[a+b+\alpha+n+1]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[a+\beta+n+1]_q}$ $[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q[a+b+\alpha+n+1]_q$ $[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q[a+b+\alpha+n+1]_q$ $[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q[a+b+\alpha+n+1]_q$ $[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q[a+b+\alpha+n+1]_q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_n$</td>
<td>$\frac{[a+b+\alpha+n]_q[a+b-\beta-n]_q[a+\beta+n]_q[\beta+n]_q[b-a+a+\beta+n]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[a+\beta+2n+1]_q}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To find $\gamma_n$ we use (17)

$$\gamma_n = \frac{[a+b+\alpha+n]_q[a+b-\beta-n]_q[a+\beta+n]_q[\beta+n]_q[b-a+a+\beta+n]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[a+\beta+2n+1]_q}. $$

To compute $\beta_n$ we use (18)

$$\beta_n = x(a) - a_n \frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b)_q}{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b)_q} - \gamma_n u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b)_q$$

$$= [a]_q[a+1]_q - \frac{[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q[a-b+n+1]_q[\beta+n+1]_q[a+b+\alpha+n+1]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[a+\beta+2n+2]_q}$$

$$+ \frac{[\alpha+n]_q[a+b+\alpha+\beta+n]_q[b-a+a+\beta+n]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[a+\beta+2n+1]_q}. $$

The differentiation formulas (21) and (22) yield

$$\frac{\Delta u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q}{\Delta x(s)} = [\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q u_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta+1}(x(s+\frac{1}{2}), a, b, -\frac{1}{2})_q, $$

(42)
\[-[n]_q[2s+1]_q u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = \sigma(-s-1) u_{n-1}^{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(x(s+\frac{1}{2}), a+\frac{1}{2}, b-\frac{1}{2})_q \]
\[-\sigma(s) u_{n-1}^{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(x(s-\frac{1}{2}), a+\frac{1}{2}, b-\frac{1}{2})_q,\]

respectively. Finally, formulas (24) (or (25)) and (26) lead to the differentiation formulas
\[
\sigma(s) \frac{\nabla u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q}{[2s]_q} = -\frac{[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+2]_q} \left[ \tau_n(s) u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q + [n+1]_q u_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \right],
\]
\[
\sigma(-s-1) \frac{\Delta u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q}{[2s+2]_q} = -\frac{[\alpha+\beta+n+1]_q}{[\alpha+\beta+2n+2]_q} \times 
\left[ (\tau_n(s) + [n]_q[\alpha+\beta+2n+2]_q[2s+1]_q) u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q + [n+1]_q u_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \right],
\]
where \(\tau_n(s)\) is given in (32).

### 2.1.4 The duality of the Racah polynomials

In this section we will discuss the duality property of the \(q\)-Racah polynomials \(u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\). We will follow [20] pages 38-39. First of all, notice that the orthogonal relation (13) for the Racah polynomials can be written in the form
\[
\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} C_{t,n} C_{t,m} = \delta_{n,m}, \quad C_{t,n} = \frac{u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(t+a), a, b)_q \sqrt{\rho(t+a)\Delta x(t+a-1/2)}}{d_n}, \quad N = b - a,
\]
where \(\rho(s)\) and \(d_n\) are the weight function and the norm of the \(q\)-Racah polynomials \(u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\), respectively. The above relation can be understood as the orthogonality property of the orthogonal matrix \(C = (C_{t,n})_{t,n=0}^{N-1}\) by its first index. If we now use the orthogonality of \(C\) by the second index we get
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} C_{t,n} C_{t,\nu} = \delta_{t,\nu}, \quad N = b - a,
\]
that leads to the dual orthogonality relation for the \(q\)-Racah polynomials
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s'), a, b)_q \frac{1}{d_n^2} = \frac{1}{\rho(s)\Delta x(s-1/2)} \delta_{s,s'},
\]

The next step is to identify the functions \(u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\) as polynomials on some lattice \(x(n)\). Before starting let us mention that from the representation (24) and the identity
\[
(q^{-n}; q) (q^{\alpha+\beta+n+1}; q)_k = \prod_{t=0}^{k-1} \left( 1 + q^{\alpha+\beta+2t+1} - q^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}+t} \left(x^2_q x(t) + q^\frac{1}{2} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right),
\]
where \(x(t) = [t]_q[1]_q = [n + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}]_q[n + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} + 1]_q\), follows that \(u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\) also constitutes a polynomial of degree \(s-a\) (for \(s = a, a+1, \ldots, b-a-1\)) on \(x(t)\) with \(t = n + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\).

Let us now define the polynomials —compare with the definition of the Racah polynomials
\[
u_k^{\alpha',\beta'}(x(t), a', b')_q = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_q(b' - a', a' + \alpha' + k + 1) \Gamma_q(b' + a' + \alpha' + k + 1)}{[k+1]! \Gamma_q(b' - a' - k) \Gamma_q(b' + 1) \Gamma_q(b' + a' + \alpha' + k)} \times
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{c}
\{ -k, \alpha' + \beta' + k + 1, a' - t, a' + t + 1, a' - b' + 1, \beta' + 1, a' + b' + \alpha' + 1 | q, 1 \}
\end{array}
\end{array} \right\}
\]

(47)
where
\[ k = s - a, \quad t = n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad a' = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad b' = b - a + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad \alpha' = 2a - \beta, \quad \beta' = \beta. \] (48)

Obviously they are polynomials of degree \( k = s - a \) on the lattice \( x(t) \) that satisfy the orthogonality property
\[ \sum_{t=0}^{b'-1} u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \rho'(t) \Delta x(t - 1/2) = (d'_{k})^{2} \delta_{k, n}, \] (49)
where \( \rho'(t) \) and \( d'_{k} \) are the weight function \( \rho \) and the norm \( d_{n} \) given in table 4, with the corresponding change \( a, b, \alpha, \beta, s, n \) by \( a', b', \alpha', \beta', t, k \).

Furthermore, with the above choice (18) of the parameters of \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \), the hypergeometric function \( \gamma_{3} \) in (47) coincides with the function \( f_{3} \) in (35) and therefore the following relation between the polynomials \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \) and \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \) holds
\[ u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} = A(\alpha, \beta, a, b, n, s) u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q}, \] (50)
where
\[ A(\alpha, \beta, a, b, n, s) = \frac{(-1)^{n-a-n} \Gamma_{q}(b-a-n) \Gamma_{q}(s-a+\beta+1) \Gamma_{q}(b+\alpha+n+1) \Gamma_{q}(n+1)}{\Gamma_{q}(b-s) \Gamma_{q}(n+\beta+1) \Gamma_{q}(b+a+\alpha+n+1) \Gamma_{q}(s-a+1)}. \]

If we now substitute (50) in (49) and make the change (18), then (49) becomes into relation (16), i.e., the polynomial set \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \) defined by (47) (or (50)) is the dual set associated to the Racah polynomials \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \).

To conclude this study, let us show that the TTRR (16) of the polynomials \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \) is the SODE (11) of the polynomials \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \) whereas the SODE (21) of the \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \) becomes into the TTRR (16) of \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \) and vice versa.

Let denote by \( \varsigma(t) \) the \( \sigma \) function of the polynomial \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(t) \), then
\[ \varsigma(t) = [t - a'][t + b'][t + a' - \beta'][b' + a' - t]_{q} = [n]_{q}[n + b - a + \alpha + \beta]_{q}[n + \alpha]_{q}[b + a - n - \beta]_{q}, \]

and therefore,
\[ \varsigma(-t - 1) = [\alpha + \beta + n + 1]_{q}[b + a + \alpha + n + 1]_{q}[b - a - n - 1]_{q} = [n]_{q}[n + b - a + \alpha + \beta]_{q}[n + \alpha]_{q}[b + a - n - \beta]_{q}, \]

For the coefficients \( \alpha'_{k}, \beta'_{k} \) and \( \gamma'_{k} \) of the TTRR for the polynomials \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'} \) we have
\[ \alpha'_{k} = \frac{[k + 1]_{q}[\alpha' + \beta' + k + 1]_{q}}{[\alpha' + \beta' + 2k + 2]_{q} [\alpha' + \beta' + 2k + 2]_{q}} = \frac{[s - a + 1]_{q}[s + a + 1]_{q}}{[2s + 1]_{q}[2s + 2]_{q}}, \]
\[ \gamma'_{k} = \frac{[b + \alpha + s]_{q}[b + \alpha - s]_{q} [s + a - \beta]_{q}[s + a - \beta]_{q}[b + s]_{q}[b - s]_{q}}{[2s + 1]_{q}[2s + 2]_{q}}, \]
\[ \beta'_{k} = [n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}]_{q}[n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + 1]_{q} + \frac{\sigma(-s - 1)}{[2s + 1]_{q}[2s + 2]_{q}} + \frac{\sigma(s)}{[2s + 1]_{q}[2s + 2]_{q}}. \]

Also we have \( \Delta x(t) = [2n + 2]_{q} = [2n + \alpha + \beta + 2]_{q} \) and \( x(s) = [s]_{q}[s + 1]_{q} = [k + a]_{q}[k + a + 1]_{q} \).

Let show that the SODE of the Racah polynomials \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \) is the TTRR of the \( u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \) polynomials. First, we substitute the relation (50) in the SODE (21) of the polynomials \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \) and use that \( u_{n}^{a, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_{q} \) is proportional to \( u_{k+1}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} \) (see (50)). After some simplification, and using the last formulas we obtain
\[ \alpha'_{k} u_{k+1}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} + \left( \beta'_{k} - [n]_{q} [\alpha + \beta + n + 1]_{q} - [\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}]_{q} [\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + 1]_{q} \right) u_{k}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} + \gamma'_{k} u_{k-1}^{a', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_{q} = 0, \]
but
\[ [n]_{q} [\alpha + \beta + n + 1]_{q} + [\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}]_{q} [\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + 1]_{q} = [n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}]_{q} [n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + 1]_{q} = x(t), \]
i.e., we obtain the TTRR for the polynomials \( u_k^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b') \).

If we now substitute (50) in the TTRR (16) for the Racah polynomials \( u_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \), and use that \( u_{n \pm 1}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \sim u_k^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t \pm 1), a', b')_q \), then we obtain the SODE

\[
\frac{\zeta(-t - 1)}{\Delta x(t) \Delta x(t - \frac{1}{2})} u_k^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t + 1), a', b')_q + \frac{\zeta(t)}{\Delta x(t) \Delta x(t - \frac{1}{2})} u_k^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t - 1), a', b')_q
\]

\[
- \left[ \frac{\zeta(-t - 1)}{\Delta x(t) \Delta x(t - \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\zeta(t)}{\Delta x(t) \Delta x(t - \frac{1}{2})} + [a]_q [a + 1]_q - [k + a]_q [k + a + 1]_q \right] u_k^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_q = 0.
\]

That is the SODE (41) of the \( u_k^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')_q \) since

\[
[a]_q [a + 1]_q - [k + a]_q [k + a + 1]_q = - [k]_q [k + 2a + 1]_q = - [k]_q [k + \alpha + \beta' + 1]_q = - \lambda_k.
\]

### 2.2 The \( q \)-Racah polynomials \( \overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \)

There is another possibility to define the \( q \)-Racah polynomials as it is suggested in (17) (20). It corresponds to the function

\[
\sigma(s) = [s - a]_q [s + b]_q [s - a + \beta]_q [b + \alpha + s]_q,
\]

i.e., \( A = 1 \), \( s_1 = a \), \( s_2 = -b \), \( s_3 = a - \beta \), \( s_4 = -b - \alpha \). With this choice we obtain a new family of polynomials \( \overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \) that is orthogonal with respect to the weight function

\[
\rho(s) = \frac{\Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a - \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(s + a + b + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a + b + 1) \Gamma_q(b - s)}.
\]

All their characteristics can be obtained exactly in the same way as before. Moreover, they can be also obtained from the corresponding characteristics of the polynomials \( u_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \) by changing \( \alpha \to -2b - \alpha \), \( \beta \to 2a - \beta \) — and using the properties of the functions \( \Gamma_q(s), \Gamma_q(s), (a|q)_n \) and \( (a; q)_n \). We will resume the main data of the polynomials \( \overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \) in table (4).

### 2.2.1 The hypergeometric representation

For the \( \overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q \) polynomials we have the following hypergeometric representation

\[
\overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = \frac{q^{-n} _{2F}_2(q^{-a - b - \beta + n + 1}; q^{-a - b + 1}, q^{-a - b}; q^{-a - b + 1}, q^{-a - b + 1}; q)_n}{\rho(s)_n \Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a - \beta + 1)} \times
\]

\[
\frac{\Gamma_q(s + a + b + 1)}{\Gamma_q(s + a + b + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a + b + 1) \Gamma_q(b - s)}
\]

or, in terms of the \( q \)-hypergeometric series (28)

\[
\overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = \frac{(a - b + 1|q)_n (2a - \beta + 1|q)_n (a - b - \alpha + 1|q)_n}{[n]_q!} \times
\]

\[
_4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
-n, 2a - 2b - \alpha - \beta + n + 1, a - s, a + s + 1 \\
-a - b + 1, 2a - \beta + 1, a - b - \alpha + 1
\end{array} ; \frac{1}{q} \right).
\]

Using the Sears transformation formula (11) Eq. (III.15)) we obtain the equivalent representation formulas

\[
\overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}(2a - 4b - \alpha - \beta + n + 1)} _{2F}_2(q^{-a - b + 1}, q^{-2b - a + 1}; q^{-a - b + 1}, q^{-a - b + 1}; q)_n}{\rho(s)_n \Gamma_q(s + a + 1) \Gamma_q(s + a - \beta + 1)} \times
\]

\[
_4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
-n, 2a - 2b - \alpha - \beta + n + 1, a - s, a + s + 1 \\
-a - b + 1, 2a - \beta + 1, a - b - \alpha + 1
\end{array} ; \frac{1}{q} \right),
\]

and

\[
\overline{u}_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = \frac{(a - b + 1|q)_n (2b - a + 1|q)_n (a - b - \beta + 1|q)_n}{[n]_q!} \times
\]

\[
_4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
-n, 2a - 2b - \alpha - \beta + n + 1, a - s, a + s + 1 \\
-a - b + 1, 2b - a + 1, a - b - \beta + 1
\end{array} ; \frac{1}{q} \right).
\]
Moreover, from the above hypergeometric representations follow the values

\[ \tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a), a, b) = \frac{(a - b + 1|q)_n (2a - \beta + 1|q)_n (a - b - \alpha + 1|q)_n}{[n]_q!}, \]

\[ \tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(b - 1), a, b) = \frac{(a - b + 1|q)_n (-2b - \alpha + 1|q)_n (a - b - \beta + 1|q)_n}{[n]_q!}. \]
Using (10) we obtain an explicit formula\(^3\)

\[
\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s-a+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s+b+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s-a+\beta+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-s)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s+a+b+1)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s+a+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s+a-\beta+1)}
\]

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b+\alpha-s) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+n}[2s + 2k - n + 1]_q \tilde{\Gamma}_q(s+k+a+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2s+k-n+1)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(k+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(n-k-1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2s+k+2)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s-n+k-a+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-s-k)}
\]

\[
\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s+k+n+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b+\alpha-s)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s-n+k+b+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(s-n+k-a+1)
\]

(57)

From this expression follows that

\[
\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(a),a,b)_q = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-a)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2a-\beta+n+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b+a-\alpha)}{[n]!\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-a-n)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2a-\beta+1)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-a+\alpha-n)},
\]

(58)

and

\[
\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(b-1),a,b)_q = \frac{(-1)^n\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-a)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2b+a)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b+a+\beta)}{[n]!\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-a-n)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(2b+a-n)\tilde{\Gamma}_q(b-a-\beta-n)},
\]

that are in agreement with the values (54) and (55) obtained before.

From the hypergeometric representation follows the symmetry property

\[
\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q = \tilde{u}_{n}^{-b-a+\beta,b-a+\alpha}(x(s),a,b)_q.
\]

2.2.2 The differentiation formulas

Next we use the differentiation formulas (21) and (23) to obtain

\[
\frac{\Delta \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q}{\Delta x(s)} = -[2b-2a+\alpha+\beta-n-1]_q \tilde{u}_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s+\frac{1}{2}),a+\frac{1}{2},b-\frac{1}{2})_q,
\]

(59)

\[
[n]_q[2s+1]_q \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q = \sigma(-s-1)\tilde{u}_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s+\frac{1}{2}),a+\frac{1}{2},b-\frac{1}{2})_q
\]

(60)

respectively. Finally, the formulas (24) (or (25)) and (26) lead to the following differentiation formulas

\[
\sigma(s) \frac{\nabla \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q}{[2s]_q} = \frac{[2b-2a+\alpha+\beta-n-1]_q}{[2b-2a+\alpha+\beta-2n-2]_q} \left[ \tau_n(s) \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q - [n+1]_q \tilde{u}_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q \right],
\]

(61)

\[
\sigma(-s-1) \frac{\Delta \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q}{[2s+2]_q} = \frac{[2b-2a+\alpha+\beta-n-1]_q}{[2b-2a+\alpha+\beta-2n-2]_q} \times \frac{\left[ \tau_n(s) + [n]_q[2b-2a+\alpha+\beta-2n-2]_q[2s+1]_q \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q - [n+1]_q \tilde{u}_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q \right]}{[2s+2]_q},
\]

(62)

respectively, where \(\tau_n(s)\) is given in table (24).

2.3 The dual set to \(\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q\)

To obtain the dual set to \(\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q\) we use the same method as in the previous section. We start from the orthogonality relation (13) for the \(\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q\) polynomials defined by (15) and write the dual relation

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s'),a,b)_q \frac{1}{d_n^2} = \frac{1}{p(s)\Delta x(s-\frac{1}{2})} \delta_{s,s'}, \quad N = b-a,
\]

(63)

\(^3\)Obviously the formulas (51) (52) also give two equivalent explicit formulas.
where $\rho$ and $d_n^a$ are the weight function and the norm of the $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$ given in Table 2. Furthermore, from (54) follows that the functions $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$ are polynomials of degree $k = b - s - 1$ on the lattice $x(t) = [t]q[t + 1]q$ where $t = b - a - n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - 1$ (the proof is similar to the one presented in section 2.1.4 and we will omit it here). To identify the dual set let us define the new set

$$
\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_q(b' - a') \Gamma_q(b' - a' + \beta') \Gamma_q(2b' + \alpha')}{[k]! \Gamma_q(b' - a' - k) \Gamma_q(b' - a' + \beta' - k) \Gamma_q(2b' + \alpha' - k)} \times 4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
-k, 2a' - 2b' - \alpha' - \beta' + k + 1, -b' - t, -b' + t + 1 \\
\alpha' - b' + 1, -2b' - \alpha' + 1, a' - b' - \beta' + 1
\end{array} \Biggm| q, 1 \right), \tag{64}
$$

where

$$
k = b - s - 1, \quad t = b - a - n + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - 1, \quad a' = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad b' = b - a + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad \alpha' = 2a - \beta, \quad \beta' = \beta. \tag{65}
$$

Obviously they satisfy the following orthogonality relation

$$
\sum_{t=a'}^{b'-1} \tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q \rho(t) \Delta x(t - 1/2) = (d_k)^2 \delta_{k,m}, \tag{66}
$$

where now $\rho(t)$ and $d_k$ are the weight function $\rho$ and the norm $d_n$, respectively, given in Table 2 with the corresponding change of the parameters $a, b, \alpha, \beta, n, s$ by $a', b', \alpha', \beta', k, t$ (55).

Furthermore, with the above definition (64) for the parameters of $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q$, the hypergeometric function $4F_3$ in (64) coincides with the function $4F_3$ in (54) and therefore the following relation between the polynomials $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')$ and $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$ holds

$$
\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q = \tilde{A}(\alpha, \beta, a, b, n, s) \tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q, \tag{67}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{A}(\alpha, \beta, a, b, n, s) = \frac{(-1)^{b - s - 1 - n} \Gamma_q(b - a - n) \Gamma_q(2b + \alpha - n) \Gamma_q(b - a + \beta - n) \Gamma_q(n + 1)}{\Gamma_q(b - s) \Gamma_q(s + a + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(s + b + \alpha + 1) \Gamma_q(s - a + 1)}
$$

To prove that the polynomials $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q$ are the dual set to $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$, it is sufficient to substitute (67) in (66) and do the change (54) that transforms (66) into (50).

Let also mention that, as in the case of the $q$-Racah polynomials, the TTRR (16) of the polynomials $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q$ is the SODE (4) of the polynomials $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$ whereas the SODE (4) of the $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(t), a', b')q$ becomes into the TTRR (16) of $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$ and vice versa.

To conclude this section let us point out that there exist a simple relation connecting both polynomials $\tilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)q$ and $\tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha', \beta'}(x(s), a, b)q$ (see (67) from below). We will establish it at the end of the next section.

## 3 Connection with the $6j$-symbols of the $q$-algebra $SU_q(2)$

### 3.1 $6j$-symbols of the quantum algebra $SU_q(2)$

It is known (see e.g. [20] and references therein) that the Racah coefficients $U_q(j_1 j_2 j_3; j_1 j_2 j_3)$ are used for the transition from the coupling scheme of three angular moments $j_1, j_2, j_3$

$$
|j_1 j_2 j_3; j_m \rangle = \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | j_1 m_1, m_2, m_3 | j_1 m_1 | j_2 m_2 | j_3 m_3 | j_1 j_2 j_3, j_m \rangle,
$$

to the following ones

$$
|j_1 j_2 j_3; j_m \rangle = \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | j_1 m_1, m_2, m_3 | j_1 m_1 | j_2 m_2 | j_3 m_3 | j_1 j_2 j_3, j_m \rangle,
$$
where $\langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | j_a b m_a b \rangle$ denotes the Clebsch-Gordon Coefficients of the quantum algebra $su_q(2)$. In fact we have that the recoupling is given by
\[
|j_1 j_2 (j_{12}), j_3 : jm \rangle = \sum_{j_{23}} U_q(j_{12} j_{13} ; j_{12} j_{23} | j_1 j_2 j_3 (j_{23}) : jm \rangle).
\]
The Racah coefficients $U$ define an unitary matrix, i.e., they satisfy the orthogonality relations
\[
\sum_{j_{23}} U_q(j_{12} j_{13} ; j_{12} j_{23} | U_q(j_{12} j_{13} ; j_{12} j_{23} | = \delta_{j_{12} j_{12}}', (68)
\]
\[
\sum_{j_{23}} U_q(j_{12} j_{13} ; j_{12} j_{23} | U_q(j_{12} j_{13} ; j_{12} j_{23} | = \delta_{j_{23} j_{23}}'. (69)
\]
Usually instead of the Racah coefficients is more convenient to use the 6$j$-symbols defined by
\[
U_q(j_{13} j_{23} j_{3}; j_{1} j_{2} j_{3} ; j_{12} , j_{23}) = (-1)^{j_{1} + j_{2} + j_{3} + j} \sqrt{[2j_{12} + 1]_q [2j_{23} + 1]_q} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j_{1} & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q.
\]
The 6$j$-symbols have the following symmetry property
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j_{2} & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{3} & j & j_{23} \\ j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \end{array} \right\}_q. (70)
\]
Here and without lost of generality we will suppose that $j_1 \geq j_2$ and $j_3 \geq j_2$, then for the moments $j_{23}$ and $j_{12}$ we have the intervals
\[
j_3 - j_2 \leq j_{23} \leq j_2 + j_3, \quad j_1 - j_2 \leq j_{12} \leq j_1 + j_2,
\]
respectively. Now, in order to avoid any other restrictions on these two momenta (caused by the so called triangle inequalities for the 6$j$-symbols) we will assume that the following restrictions hold
\[
|j - j_3| \leq \text{min}(j_{12}) = j_1 - j_2, \quad |j - j_1| \leq \text{min}(j_{23}) = j_3 - j_2.
\]

### 3.2 6$j$-symbols and the $q$-Racah polynomials $u_{n,\beta}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$

Now we are ready to establish the connection of 6$j$-symbols with the $q$-Racah polynomials. We fix the variable $s$ as $s = j_{23}$ that runs on the interval $a \leq s \leq b - 1$ where $a = j_3 - j_2, b = j_2 + j_3 + 1$. Let us put
\[
(-1)^{j_{1} + j_{2} + j_{3} + j} \sqrt{[2j_{12} + 1]_q [2j_{23} + 1]_q} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q = \sqrt{\frac{\rho(s)}{d_n}} u_{n,\beta}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q, (71)
\]
where $\rho(s)$ and $d_n$ are the weight function and the norm, respectively, of the $q$-Racah polynomials on the lattice $u_{n,\beta}^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$, and $n = j_{12} - j_1 + j_2, \alpha = j_1 - j_2 - j_3 + j \geq 0, \beta = j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j \geq 0, 4$

To verify the above relation we use the recurrence relation [27 Eq. (5.17)]
\[
[2j_2 + 1]_q \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q - \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j & j_{23} - 1 \end{array} \right\}_q = \left( [2j_{23}]_q [2j_{12} + 1]_q - [2j_{12}]_q [j - j_{23} + j_{1} + 1]_q [j + j_{23} - j_{1}]_q \right) \times
\]
\[
\left( \left( [2j_{23} + 1]_q [2j_{12} + 1]_q - [2j_{12}]_q [j_{3} - j_{2} + j_{23} + 1]_q [j_{3} + j_{2} - j_{23}]_q \right) - \left( [2j_{23} + 1]_q [2j_{12} + 1]_q - [2j_{12}]_q [j_{3} - j_{2} + j_{23} + 1]_q [j_{3} + j_{2} - j_{23}]_q \right) \times
\]
\[
\left( [2j_{23} + 1]_q \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q + [2j_2]_q [2j_{23}]_q A_{q}^{+}_q \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{12} \\ j_{3} & j & j_{23} + 1 \end{array} \right\}_q = 0,
\]
\]

\[^4\text{Notice that this is equivalent to the following setting.}\]

\[
j_{1} = (b - a + 1 + \alpha + \beta)/2, \quad j_{2} = (b - a - 1)/2, \quad j_{3} = (a + b - 1)/2,
\]
\[
j_{12} = (2n + \alpha + \beta)/2, \quad j_{23} = s, \quad j = (a + b - 1 + \alpha - \beta)/2.
\]
where

\[
A_q^+ = \sqrt{\frac{[j + j_{23} + j + 1]_q[j + j_{23} - j - 1]_q[j - j_{23} + j + 1]_q[j_{23} - j + j_{1}]_q}{[j_{2} + j_{3} + j_{23} + 1]_q[j_{2} + j_{3} - j_{23} + 1]_q[j_{3} - j_{2} + j_{23}]_q[j_{2} - j_{3} + j_{23}]_q}},
\]

\[
A_q^+ = \sqrt{\frac{[j + j_{23} + j + 2]_q[j + j_{23} - j + 1]_q[j - j_{23} + j_{1}]_q[j_{23} - j + j_{1}]_q}{[j_{2} + j_{3} + j_{23} + 2]_q[j_{2} + j_{3} - j_{23}]_q[j_{3} - j_{2} + j_{23} + 1]_q[j_{2} - j_{3} + j_{23} + 1]_q}}.
\]

Notice that

\[
A_q^- = \sqrt{\sigma(j_{23})\sigma(-j_{23})}, \quad A_q^+ = \sqrt{\sigma(j_{23} + 1)\sigma(-j_{23} - 1)},
\]

where

\[
\sigma(j_{23}) = [j_{23} - j_{2} + j_{23} + 1]_q[j_{23} + j_{2} + j_{23} + 1]_q[j_{23} - j_{1} + j_{23} + 1]_q,
\]

\[
\sigma(-j_{23} - 1) = [j_{23} + j_{3} - j_{2} + 1]_q[j_{2} + j_{3} - j_{23}]_q[j_{3} - j_{1} + j_{23} + 1]_q[j_{1} + j_{23} + 2]_q.
\]

Substituting (71) in (72) and simplifying the obtained expression we get

\[
 [2s]_q\sigma(-s - 1)u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s + 1), a, b)_q + [2s + 2]_q\sigma(s)u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s - 1), a, b)_q + \\
\left(\lambda_n[2s]_q[2s + 1]_q[2s + 2]_q - [2s]_q\sigma(-s - 1) - [2s + 2]_q\sigma(s)\right)u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = 0,
\]

which is the difference equation for the q-Racah polynomials \( \lambda_n \). Since \( u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = 1 \), (71) leads to

\[
(-1)^{j_1 + j_{23} + j} \sqrt{\frac{[2j_{1} - 2j_{2} + 1]_q[j_{1} - j_{2}]_q}{[j_{3} - j_{2} + j_{23}]_q}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho(s)}{d_5}} \Rightarrow
\]

\[
\{ j_1, j_2, j_1 - j_2, j_{3} - j_{2} \} = \{ j_1, j_2, j_1 - j_2, j_{3} - j_{2} \}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{j_1 + j_s + s} \sqrt{\frac{[j_{1} + j + s + 1]_q[j_{1} + j - s]_q[j_{1} - j + s]_q[j_{3} - j_{2} + s]_q}{[j_{1} + j + s]_q[j_{3} + j_{2} - s]_q[j_{2} - j_{3} + s]_q[j_{2} + j_{3} + s + 1]_q}}
\]

Furthermore, substituting the values \( s = a \) and \( s = b - 1 \) in (71) and using (41) we find

\[
\{ j_1, j_2, j_{12}, j_{3} - j_{2} \} = (-1)^{j_{12} + j_{3} + j_s} \times
\]

\[
\sqrt{\frac{[j_{12} + j_{3} - j]_q[2j_{2}]_q[j_{12} + j_{3} + j + 1]_q[j_{2} - j_{1} + j_{12}]_q}{[j_{1} - j_{2} + j_{3} - j]_q[j_{1} + j_{2} - j_{12}]_q[j_{1} - j_{2} + j_{3} + j + 1]_q}}
\]

(74)

and

\[
\{ j_1, j_2, j_{12}, j_{3} + j_{23} \} = (-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_s} \times
\]

\[
\sqrt{\frac{[2j_{2}]_q[j_{12} - j_{3} + j]_q[j_{2} - j_{1} + j_{3} + j]_q[2j_{3}]_q[j_{1} + j_{2} + j_{3} - j]_q}{[j_{1} + j_{2} - j_{12}]_q[j_{1} - j_{2} + j_{3} + j]_q[j_{3} - j_{12} + j]_q}}
\]

(75)

that are in agreement with the results in [26].
The relation (71) allows us to obtain several recurrence relations for the 6j-symbols of the quantum algebra $\text{SU}_q(2)$ by using the properties of the $q$-Racah polynomials. So, the TTRR (16) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
[2j_{12}q]_q A_q^+ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} + 1 \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q + [2j_{12} + 2]_q A_q^- \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} - 1 \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q \\
- (2j_{12}q)[2j_{12} + 1]q(2j_{12} + 2)q([j_{23}]_q[j_{23} + 1]_q - [j_3 - j_2]q[j_3 - j_2 + 1]_q) + [2j_{12}q] \\
[j_1 - j_2 + j_{12} + 1]q[j_1 - j_2]q[j_1 + j_{12} + j + 1]q - [2j_{12} + 2]_q \\
[j_{12} - j_3 + j]q[j_1 + j_{12} + 1]q[j_3 - j_{12} + j + 1]q \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q = 0, 
\end{align*}
\]  
(76)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{A}_q^- &= \sqrt{[j_2 - j_1 + j_{12}]q[j_1 - j_2 + j_{12}]q[j_{12} - j_3 + j]q[j_{12} + j_3 - j]q[j_1 + j_2 + j_{12} + 1]q} \\
\sqrt{[j_{23} + j + 1]q[j_1 + j_2 - j_{12} + j + 1]q[j_1 - j_2 + j + 1]q} \\
\sqrt{[j_1 + j_2 + 2]q[j_{12} + j + 2]q[j_1 - j_{12} + j]q}[j_1 + j_{12}][j_3 - j_{12} + j]q. 
\end{align*}
\]  
(77)

The expressions (12) and (48) yield

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\sigma(j_{23} + 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} + 1 \end{array} \right\}_q + \sqrt{\sigma(-j_{23} - 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q \\
= [2j_{23} + 2]q \sqrt{[j_2 - j_1 + j_{12}]q[j_1 - j_2 + j_{12} + 1]q} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 + \frac{1}{2} & j_2 - \frac{1}{2} & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} + \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\}_q, 
\end{align*}
\]  
(78)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\sigma(-j_{23} - 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 + \frac{1}{2} & j_2 - \frac{1}{2} & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} + \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\}_q + \sqrt{\sigma(j_{23})} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 + \frac{1}{2} & j_2 - \frac{1}{2} & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} - \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\}_q \\
= [2j_{23} + 1]q \sqrt{[j_{12} - j_1 + j_{12}]q[j_{12} + j_1 - j_2 + 1]q} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q, 
\end{align*}
\]  
(79)

respectively, whereas the differentiation formulas (51) - (54) give

\[
\begin{align*}
[2j_{12} + 2]q A_q^+ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} - 1 \end{array} \right\}_q + [2j_{23}]_q A_q^+ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} + 1 \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q + \\
(\sigma(j_{23})[2j_{12} + 2]q + [j_1 - j_2 + j_{12} + 1]q[2j_{23}]_q A(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_1, j_2) \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q = 0 
\end{align*}
\]  
(80)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
[2j_{12} + 2]_q A_q^+ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} + 1 \end{array} \right\}_q - [2j_{23} + 2]_q A_q^+ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} + 1 \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q \\
(2j_{12} + 2)_q (2j_{12} + 2)_q (j_1 - j_2 + j_{12} + 1)_q (A(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_1, j_2) + \\
[j_{12} - j_1 + j_2]q[2j_{12} + 2]q[2j_{23} + 1]q \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
j_3 & j & j_{23} \end{array} \right\}_q = 0, 
\end{align*}
\]  
(81)

respectively, where $A_q^+$ are given by (53), $\bar{A}_q^+$ by (77) and

\[
A(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_1, j_2) = \sigma \left( -\frac{j_{12} + j_1 - j_2}{2} - 1 \right) - \sigma \left( -\frac{j_{12} + j_1 - j_2}{2} \right) - \\
[2j_{12} + 2]_q \left[ j_{23} + \frac{j_{12} - j_1 + j_2}{2} \right]_q \left[ j_{23} + \frac{j_{12} - j_1 + j_2}{2} + 1 \right].
\]
Using the hypergeometric representations \([24]\) and \([25]\), we obtain the representation of the \(6j\)-symbols in terms of the \(q\)-hypergeometric function\(^5\) \([23]\):

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
    j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
    j_3 & j & j_{23}
\end{array} \right\}_q = (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3+j} \frac{[2j_{12}]_q!}{[j_1-j_2+j_3-j+j+1]_q!} \\
\frac{[j_1+j+j_{23}+1]_q![j_1+j-j_{23}]_q![j_1+j+j_{23}]_q![j_3-j_2+j_{23}]_q!}{[j-j_1+j_{23}]_q![j_3+j_2+j_{23}]_q![j_2+j_3+j_{23}+1]_q!} \\
\frac{[j_{12}-j+j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j-j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_3-j_2+j_{23}]_q!}{[j_{12}+j-j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j-j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_3-j_2+j_{23}]_q!} \\
\frac{\binom{2j_{23}}{j_1-j_2-j_1-j_2+j_{12}+j_j_3-j_2+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1} + \binom{2j_{23}}{-2j_{23},j_1-j_2-j_3+j_3-j_2+j_{12}+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1} + \binom{2j_{23}}{-2j_{23},j_1-j_2-j_3+j_3-j_2+j_{12}+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1}}
\]

and

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
    j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
    j_3 & j & j_{23}
\end{array} \right\}_q = (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j} \frac{[2j_{12}]_q!}{[j_1-j_2-j_3+j} \frac{[j_1+j+j_{23}+1]_q![j_1+j-j_{23}]_q![j_1+j+j_{23}]_q![j_3-j_2+j_{23}]_q!}{[j-j_1+j_{23}]_q![j_3+j_2+j_{23}]_q![j_2+j_3+j_{23}+1]_q!} \\
\frac{[j_{12}-j+j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j-j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_3-j_2+j_{23}]_q!}{[j_{12}+j-j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j-j_{23}]_q![j_{12}+j+j_{23}]_q![j_3-j_2+j_{23}]_q!} \\
\frac{\binom{2j_{23}}{j_1-j_2-j_1-j_2+j_{12}+j_j_3-j_2+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1} + \binom{2j_{23}}{-2j_{23},j_1-j_2-j_3+j_3-j_2+j_{12}+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1} + \binom{2j_{23}}{-2j_{23},j_1-j_2-j_3+j_3-j_2+j_{12}+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1}}
\]

Notice that from the above representations the values \([24]\) and \([25]\) immediately follows. Notice also that the above formulas give two alternative explicit formulas for computing the \(6j\)-symbols. A third explicit formula follows from \([40]\):

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
    j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
    j_3 & j & j_{23}
\end{array} \right\}_q = \sqrt{\frac{[2j_{23}+j_3-j_1]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_2+j_3]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_3]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_2]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_1]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!}} \\
\frac{[2j_{23}+j_3-j_1]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_2+j_3]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_3]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_2]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j_1]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}+j]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!} \frac{[2j_{23}]_q!}{[j_2+j_3+j_1]_q!}} \\
\frac{\binom{2j_{23}+j_3-j_1}{j_1-j_2-j_1-j_2+j_{12}+j_j_3-j_2+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1} + \binom{2j_{23}+j_2+j_3}{-2j_{23},j_1-j_2-j_3+j_3-j_2+j_{12}+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1} + \binom{2j_{23}+j_3}{-2j_{23},j_1-j_2-j_3+j_3-j_2+j_{12}+j_{23}+j_3-j_2+1}{q,1}}
\]

To conclude this section let us point out that the orthogonality relations \([68]\) and \([69]\) lead to the orthogonality relations for the Racah polynomials \(u_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s),a,b)_q\) \([35]\) and their duals \(\tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(t),a',b')_q\), respectively, and also that the relation \([50]\) between \(q\)-Racah and dual \(q\)-Racah corresponds to the symmetry property \([70]\).

### 3.3 \(6j\)-symbols and the alternative \(q\)-Racah polynomials \(\tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\)

In this section we will provide the same comparative analysis but for the alternative \(q\)-Racah polynomials \(\tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\). We again choose \(s = j_{23}\) that runs on the interval \([a, b-1]\), \(a = j_3-j_2\), \(b = j_2+j_3+1\). In this case the connection is given by formula

\[
(-1)^{j_{12}+j_3+j} \sqrt{[2j_{23}+1]_q} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
    j_1 & j_2 & j_{12} \\
    j_3 & j & j_{23}
\end{array} \right\}_q = \sqrt{\frac{\rho(s)}{d_n^2}} \tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q, \quad (82)
\]

where \(\rho(s)\) and \(d_n\) are the weight function and the norm, respectively, of the alternative \(q\)-Racah polynomials \(\tilde{u}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x(s), a, b)_q\) (see Section 2.2 on the lattice \(I\)), and \(n = j_1 + j_2 - j_{12}, \alpha = j_1 - j_2 - j_3 + j \geq 0, \beta = j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j \geq 0\).

\(^5\)To obtain the representation in terms of the basic hypergeometric series it is sufficient to use the relation \([20]\).
Using the above relations we see that the SODE \(31\) for the \(\tilde{w}_\alpha^{\beta,\gamma}(x(s), a, b)_q\) polynomials becomes into the recurrence relation \(72\) as well as the TTRR \(17\) becomes into the recurrence relation \(10\). Evaluating \(82\) in \(s = j_{23} = j_3 - j_2\) and \(s = j_{23} = j_2 + j_3 + 1\) and using \(58\) we recover the values \(74\) and \(75\), respectively. If we now put \(n = 0\), i.e., \(j_{12} = j_1 + j_2\) we obtain the value

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\} & := \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q \\
= (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3+j} \sqrt{\frac{[2j_1]_q[2j_2]_q![j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j + 1]_q[1 + j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j]_q}{[2j_1 + 2j_2 + 1]_q[-j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + j + 1]_q[j_2 + j_3 + s + 1]_q}} \times \\
\sqrt{\frac{s - j_1 + j_3][s - j_2 + j_3]_q}{[j_1 + j - s]_q[j_1 + j + s]_q[j_2 + j + s + 1]_q[j_2 - j - s]_q[j_2 - j + s]_q}}.
\end{align*}
\]

The expressions \(80\) and \(81\) yield

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\varsigma(j_{23} + 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q & - \sqrt{\varsigma(-j_{23} - 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q \\
= [2j_{23} + 2]_q \sqrt{[j_1 + j_2 - j_{12}]_q[j_1 + j_2 + j_{12} + 1]_q} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 - \frac{1}{2} \\
j_3 \\
j_2 - \frac{1}{2} \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q \\
& \text{and}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\varsigma(-j_{23} - 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 - \frac{1}{2} \\
j_3 \\
j_2 - \frac{1}{2} \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q & - \sqrt{\varsigma(j_{23})} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 - \frac{1}{2} \\
j_3 \\
j_2 - \frac{1}{2} \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q \\
= [2j_{23} + 1]_q \sqrt{[j_1 + j_2 - j_{12}]_q[j_1 + j_2 + j_{12} + 1]_q} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively, where

\[
\varsigma(j_{23}) = [j_{23} - j_3 + j_2][j_{23} + j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q[j_{23} - j_1 + j + 1]_q[j_1 + j_2 + j_{23} + 1]_q,
\]

\[
\varsigma(-j_{23} - 1) = [j_{23} + j_3 - j_2 + 1]_q[j_2 + j_3 - j_{23}]_q[j_{23} + j_1 - j + 1]_q[j_1 + j_2 - j_{23}]_q.
\]

The differentiation formulas \(61\)–\(64\) give

\[
\begin{align*}
[2j_{12}]_q A_q \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\} - [2j_{23}]_q A_q \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q \\
\varsigma(j_{23})[2j_{12}]_q + [j_1 + j_2 + j_{12} + 1]_q[2j_{23}]_q A_q(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_1, j_2) \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q = 0
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
[2j_{12}]_q A_q^+ \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\} + [2j_{23} + 2]_q A_q^- \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q \\
\varsigma(-j_{23} - 1) - [2j_{23} + 2]_q[2j_{12} + 1]_q A_q(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_1, j_2) + \\
[j_1 + j_2 - j_{12}]_q[2j_{12}]_q[2j_{23} + 1]_q) \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
j_1 \\
j_3 \\
j_2 \\
j_1 + j_2 \end{array} \right\}_q = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively, where \(A_q^+\) are given by \(83\), \(A_q^-\) by \(87\) and

\[
\tilde{A}(j_{12}, j_{23}, j_1, j_2) = \varsigma(j_{12} - j_1 - j_2 - 1) - \varsigma\left(\frac{j_{12} - j_1 - j_2}{2}\right) - \\
[2j_{12}]_q \left[\begin{array}{c}
j_{23} + \frac{j_1 + j_2 - j_{12}}{2} \end{array} \right]_q \left[\begin{array}{c}
j_{23} + \frac{j_1 + j_2 - j_{12} + 1}{2} \end{array} \right]_q.
\]
If we now use the hypergeometric representations \( \text{(52)} \) and \( \text{(54)} \) we obtain two new representations of the \( 6j \)-symbols in terms of the \( q \)-hypergeometric function \( \text{(28)} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ j_1, j_2, j_{12} \}_q &= (-1)^{j_{12} + j_3 + j} \frac{[2j_2]_q! [j_1 + j_2 - j_3 + j]_q!}{[j_3 - j_2 - j_1 + j]_q!} \\
& \times \sqrt{\frac{(j - j_1 + j_2 + j_3) [j_3 - j_2 + j_3]_q!}{(j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + 1)_q! [j_1 + j - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}} \\
& \times \frac{[j_3 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_2 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j + j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}{[j_3 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_2 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j + j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!} \\
& \times {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
j_{12} - j_1 - j_2, -j_1 - j_2 - j_{12} - 1, j_3 - j_3 - j_2 + j_3 - j_3 - j_2 + j + 1, j_3 - j_1 - j_2 - j + 1
\end{array} \middle| q, 1 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ j_1, j_2, j_{12} \}_q &= (-1)^{j_{12} + j_3 + j} \frac{[2j_2]_q! [j_1 + j_2 + j_3 - j]_q!}{[j_3 - j_2 - j_1 + j]_q!} \\
& \times \sqrt{\frac{(j - j_1 + j_2 + j_3) [j_3 - j_2 + j_3]_q!}{(j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + 1)_q! [j_1 + j - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}} \\
& \times \frac{[j_3 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_2 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j + j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}{[j_3 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_2 - j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j + j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!} \\
& \times {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
j_{12} - j_1 - j_2, -j_1 - j_2 - j_{12} - 1, j_3 - j_3 - j_2 + j_3 - j_3 - j_2 + j + 1, j_3 - j_1 - j_2 - j + 1
\end{array} \middle| q, 1 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that from the above representations the values \( \text{(71)} \) and \( \text{(74)} \) also follows. Obviously the above formulas give another two alternative explicit formulas for computing the \( 6j \)-symbols. Finally, from \( \text{(51)} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ j_1, j_2, j_{12} \}_q &= \sqrt{\frac{[j_3 + j_1 + j + 1]_q! [j_2 - j_3 + j_1]_q! [j_2 - j_3 + j_2]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}{[j_3 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q! [j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}} \\
& \times \frac{[j_1 + j_2 + j_3 - j]_q! [j_1 + j_2 - j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_3 + j_2]_q!}{[j_1 + j_2 - j_3 + j]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2]_q!} \\
& \times \frac{(-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j} [2j_3 + 2l + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!}{[2j_3 + 2l + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q!} \\
& \times \frac{[j_1 + j_2 + j_3 - j]_q! [j_1 + j_2 - j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_3 + j_2]_q!}{[j_1 + j_2 - j_3 + j]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2]_q!} \\
& \times \sum_{l=0}^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3 - j_2} [l]_q! [j_1 + j_2 - j_3 - j_3]_q! [j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 + j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_3 + j_2]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2]_q! \\
& \times \frac{[2j_3 + 2l + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 + 1]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_3 + j_2]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 - j_2 + j_3]_q! [j_1 + j_3 - j_2]_q!}
\end{align*}
\]

To conclude this section, let us point out that the orthogonality relations \( \text{(68)} \) and \( \text{(69)} \) lead to the orthogonality relations for the alternative Racah polynomials \( \widetilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \) and their duals \( \tilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(t), a', b') \) \( \text{(64)} \), respectively, as well as the relation \( \text{(77)} \) between \( q \)-Racah and dual \( q \)-Racah corresponds to the symmetry property \( \text{(70)} \).

### 3.4 Connection between \( \widetilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \) and \( u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \)

Let us obtain a formula connecting the two families \( \widetilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \) and \( u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \). In fact, Eqs. \( \text{(71)} \) and \( \text{(72)} \) suggest the following relation between both Racah polynomials \( \widetilde{u}_{k}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \) and \( u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \):

\[
\widetilde{u}_{k,-a-1-n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) = (-1)^{s-a-n} \times \frac{\Gamma_{q}(s-a + \beta + 1)\Gamma_{q}(a + \alpha - s)\Gamma_{q}(b + a + 1 + s)\Gamma_{q}(a + b - \beta - n)}{\Gamma_{q}(s-a + \beta + 1)\Gamma_{q}(a + 1 + n)\Gamma_{q}(\beta + 1 + n)\Gamma_{q}(a + b + \alpha + 1 + n)} u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b). \tag{87}
\]

To prove it is sufficient to substitute the above formula in the difference equation \( \text{(4)} \) of the \( \widetilde{u}_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \) polynomials. After some straightforward computations the resulting difference equation becomes into the corresponding difference equation for the polynomials \( u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b) \).
Notice that from this relation follows that
\[ 4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
 a + b + n + 1, a + b - \alpha - \beta - n, a + s, a + s + 1 \\
 a - b + 1, 2a - \beta + 1, a - b + \alpha + 1 \\
 a - b + 1, \beta + 1, a + b + \alpha + 1
\end{array} \left| q, 1 \right. \right).
\]

This yield to the following identity for terminating \(4\phi_3\) basic series, \(n, N - n - 1, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\),
\[ 4\phi_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
 q^{n-N+1}, q^{-n-N+1}A^{-1}B^{-1}, q^{-k}, q^{-k}D \\
 q^{1-N}, q^{-2k}DB^{-1}, q^{1-N}A^{-1}
\end{array} \left| q, q \right. \right.
= \frac{q^{-kN} (qB; q)_k (q^{-2k}DA; q)_k}{A^k B^k (q^{-2k}DB^{-1}; q)_k, (q^{1-N}A^{-1}; q)_k} 4\phi_3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
 q^{-n}, ABq^n, q^{-k}, q^{-k}D \\
 q^{1-N}, qB, q^{-N-2k}DA
\end{array} \left| q, q \right. \right).
\]

4 Conclusions

Here we have provided a detailed study of two kind of Racah \(q\)-polynomials on the lattice \(x(s) = [s]_q [s + 1]_q\) and also their comparative analysis with the Racah coefficients or 6\(j\)-symbols of the quantum algebra \(U_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))\).

To conclude this paper we will briefly discuss the relation of these \(q\)-Racah polynomials with the representation theory of the quantum algebra \(U_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))\). In \cite{20} \[5.5.3\] was shown that the transformation between two different bases \((\lambda, \mu)\) of the irreducible representation of the classical (not \(q\)) algebra \(\mathfrak{su}(3)\) corresponding to the reductions \(\mathfrak{su}(3) \supset \mathfrak{su}(2) \times u(1)\) and \(\mathfrak{su}(3) \supset u(1) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)\) of the \(\mathfrak{su}(3)\) algebra in two different subalgebras \(\mathfrak{su}(2)\) is given in terms of the Weyl coefficients that are, up to a sign (phase), the Racah coefficients of the algebra \(\mathfrak{su}(2)\). The same statement can be done in the case of the quantum algebra \(\mathfrak{su}_q(3)\) \cite{15} \cite{18}: The Weyl coefficients of the transformation between two bases of the irreducible representation \((\lambda, \mu)\) corresponding to the reductions \(\mathfrak{su}_q(3) \supset \mathfrak{su}_q(2) \times u_q(1)\) and \(\mathfrak{su}_q(3) \supset u_q(1) \times \mathfrak{su}_q(2)\) of the quantum algebra \(\mathfrak{su}_q(3)\) in two different quantum subalgebras \(\mathfrak{su}_q(2)\) coincide (up to a sign) with the \(q\)-Racah coefficients of the \(\mathfrak{su}_q(2)\).

In fact, the Weyl coefficients satisfy certain difference equations that are equivalent to the differentiation formulas for the \(q\)-Racah polynomials \(u^{\alpha, \beta}_n(x, a, b)_q\) and \(\tilde{u}^{\alpha, \beta}_n(x, a, b)_q\) so, following the idea in \cite{20} \[5.5.3\] we can assure that the main properties of the \(q\)-Racah polynomials are closely related with the representations of the quantum algebra \(U_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))\). Finally, let us point out that the same assertion can be done but with the non-compact quantum algebra \(U_q(\mathfrak{su}(2, 1))\). This will be carefully done in a forthcoming paper.
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